# Recent Trends in Quality and Mass Production Techniques of Biocontrol Agents for Pest Management

# Dr Nanda Ram

Department of Entomology, B.B.D. Government P.G. College Chimanpura, (Shahpura), Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

# Abstract

Biocontrol agents (BCAs) have emerged as a sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides for pest management, particularly in Indian agriculture. With rising concerns about the environmental and health impacts of chemical pesticides, BCAs offer an eco-friendly solution by utilizing natural predators, parasitoids, and pathogens. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the recent trends in the quality and mass production techniques of BCAs in India, focusing on advancements, challenges, and future prospects. We examined various methods of mass production, such as solidstate fermentation, and highlighted the efficacy of agents like Bacillus thuringiensis, Trichoderma harzianum, and Nematodes in pest management. Findings suggest that solid-state fermentation has reduced production costs by up to 25 percent, and BCAs like Trichoderma have achieved over 70 percent efficacy in controlling soil-borne pathogens. Adoption has also led to significant reductions in pesticide usage and enhanced crop yield stability. Despite the positive outcomes, factors such as high production costs, limited awareness among farmers, and inconsistent performance across diverse agro-ecosystems pose significant challenges to their widespread adoption. Statistical data shows that the adoption of BCAs has led to a 60 percent reduction in pesticide use in certain regions, underscoring their potential for sustainable agriculture. The future of BCAs in India lies in the integration with Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices, improved mass production techniques, and enhanced government policies supporting research and extension services. This paper emphasizes the need for continued research investment and public awareness to fully harness the benefits of BCAs for pest control in India.

Keywords: Biocontrol agents, pest management, sustainable agriculture, mass production, Integrated Pest Management, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, India, eco-friendly pesticides, biopesticides

# **1. Introduction**

Agricultural productivity in India is persistently challenged by pest infestations, which are responsible for an estimated 15–25 percent annual crop loss, with some crops like cotton and vegetables witnessing even higher losses (Dhaliwal, Jindal, and Mohindru, 2010). Traditionally, chemical pesticides have been the primary line of defence against pests. However, their indiscriminate use has led to serious ecological concerns, including resistance in pest populations, resurgence of secondary pests, contamination of soil and water, and adverse effects on non-target organisms and human health (Pimentel, 2005). In this context, biological control, or biocontrol, has emerged as a sustainable and eco-compatible strategy for integrated pest management (IPM) in India.

Biocontrol involves the use of living organisms such as bacteria, fungi, viruses, protozoa, predatory insects, or parasitoids to suppress pest populations. This approach not only preserves ecological balance but also aligns with organic and low-input farming systems that are gaining popularity across Indian states under schemes like the Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY) (Ministry of Agriculture, 2015). As per estimates by the Central Insecticides Board and Registration Committee (CIBRC), India had over 900 registered biopesticide products and above 300 registered manufacturers by 2015 (CIBRC, 2016).

A significant increase in both the production and adoption of biocontrol agents (BCAs) has been observed over the last decade. The area under biopesticide application expanded from approximately 0.2 million hectares in 2007 to over 1.2 million hectares by 2014 (Sithanantham, 2014). This growth is attributable to enhanced awareness, policy support, and improvements in mass production and formulation technologies. Institutions such as the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), Indian Institute of Horticultural Research (IIHR), and Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU) have played a critical role in developing strains and protocols for efficient biocontrol mass production.

Despite these advancements, challenges such as inconsistent quality, short shelf life, and limited scalability remain. Ensuring the quality and efficacy of biocontrol agents through advanced production techniques and regulatory frameworks has become imperative. With the growing demand for residue-free food and sustainable farming practices, refining the quality control parameters and mass production methodologies for BCAs is more relevant than ever in the Indian agricultural landscape.

# 2. Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to review recent advancements in the quality control and mass production techniques of biocontrol agents for pest management within the Indian context. Specifically, the paper aims to-

- examine developments in microbial and entomological biocontrol production methods, (i)
- assess standardization protocols and quality parameters adopted by Indian regulatory bodies, and (ii)
- identify gaps, challenges, and opportunities for enhancing scalability and efficacy. The study also (iii) seeks to present quantitative trends to inform future strategies in sustainable agriculture and integrated pest management (IPM) practices.

# 3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative review approach, analysing secondary data from research journal articles, government reports, technical bulletins, and institutional publications. Major data sources include the Central Insecticides Board and Registration Committee (CIBRC), Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), and scientific journals such as Crop Protection and Biocontrol Science and Technology. Relevant publications from the period 2000–2016 were reviewed to trace trends in production technologies, regulatory standards, and field efficacy of biocontrol agents in India. Emphasis was placed on identifying both qualitative insights and numerical trends related to agent viability, production yield (CFU/ml or spores/g), and area coverage. Comparative analysis was used to highlight improvements over time and variations across Indian states. The selection criteria prioritized studies reporting field-level application outcomes, standardized protocols, and policy-linked interventions.

#### 4. Classification of Biocontrol Agents Used in India

Biocontrol agents (BCAs) deployed in India for pest and disease management can be broadly classified into four major categories: microbial agents, botanical derivatives, entomopathogenic nematodes, and beneficial insects including predators and parasitoids. These agents are selected based on their target specificity, adaptability to agro-climatic zones, and compatibility with integrated pest management (IPM) strategies.

#### 4.1 Microbial Biocontrol Agents

Microbial BCAs are the most widely used in India, particularly fungi and bacteria. Fungal species like *Trichoderma viride*, *T. harzianum*, and *Beauveria bassiana* are applied for soil-borne pathogen suppression and insect control, while bacterial agents such as *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) are used for managing lepidopteran larvae in crops like cotton and vegetables (Mukherjee and Singh, 2012). As of 2014, microbial agents accounted for over 80 percent of the total registered biopesticide formulations in India (CIBRC, 2015).

#### **4.2 Botanical Derivatives**

Plant-based biocontrol formulations, especially neem (*Azadirachta indica*) extracts, play a crucial role in pest deterrence and feeding inhibition. Neem-based products are often incorporated in organic farming systems and are popular due to their biodegradability and minimal toxicity (Isman, 2006). Around 12 percent of India's biopesticide market was composed of botanical pesticides by 2015 (Sithanantham, 2014).

#### 4.3 Entomopathogenic Nematodes (EPNs)

EPNs such as *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* species have shown promise in managing soil-inhabiting pests like white grubs and cutworms. Though underutilized in India, EPNs are gaining research interest due to their host-specific action and environmental safety (Kaya and Gaugler, 1993).

#### 4.4 Predatory and Parasitic Insects

Augmentative release of beneficial insects like *Trichogramma chilonis* (egg parasitoid) and *Chrysoperla carnea* (predatory lacewing) has proven effective in controlling insect pests in cotton, sugarcane, and vegetables (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2009). Several mass rearing units across India produce parasitoids for field-level deployment.

| Category              | Examples                   | Target Pests/Diseases          | Share of Use<br>(percent) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Microbial agents      | Trichoderma, Beauveria, Bt | Soil pathogens, caterpillars   | 80 percent                |
| Botanical derivatives | Neem extract, Pongamia oil | Aphids, beetles, sucking pests | 12 percent                |

#### Table 1: Major Categories of Biocontrol Agents Used in India and Their Target Pests

| Entomopathogenic<br>nematodes | Steinernema spp.,<br>Heterorhabditis spp. | White grubs, root borers         | 3 percent |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Predators and parasitoids     | Trichogramma, Chrysoperla                 | Caterpillars, whiteflies, aphids | 5 percent |

Source: Compiled from Krishnamoorthy et al. (2009); Sithanantham (2014); CIBRC (2015)

#### 5. Recent Trends in Mass Production Techniques of Biocontrol Agents

Over the past two decades, India has made significant strides in developing cost-effective, scalable, and quality-oriented mass production techniques for biocontrol agents. These advances are pivotal in ensuring their consistent availability and field-level effectiveness across various cropping systems.

#### 5.1 Solid-State and Liquid Fermentation Techniques

Solid-state fermentation (SSF) remains widely used for fungal agents like *Trichoderma* and *Metarhizium anisopliae*, where substrates such as wheat bran or sorghum are used to enhance sporulation. However, liquid fermentation (LF) is increasingly gaining traction for bacterial agents like *Bacillus thuringiensis* and fungal formulations due to its higher yield potential, automation, and ease of downstream processing (Kumar et al., 2010). For example, LF can yield over  $1.5-2 \times 10^9$  CFU/ml of viable spores compared to  $10^7-10^8$  CFU/g in SSF (Rangaswamy et al., 2014).

#### 5.2 Automation and Mechanization

Several public and private laboratories, including ICAR institutes, have adopted automated bioreactors, tray dryers, and aseptic packaging systems. As of 2015, over 700 biocontrol production units were operational in India, with more than 60 percent located in southern states such as Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, and Andhra Pradesh (CIBRC, 2015).

#### **5.3 Carrier Materials and Formulation Advances**

The selection of carriers—such as talc, lignite, bentonite, or alginate beads—plays a crucial role in the shelflife and field performance of biocontrol products. Talc-based formulations continue to dominate the Indian market, but novel carriers like encapsulated polymers and oil-based emulsions have shown promise in increasing shelf-life up to 12 months under ambient conditions (Gupta and Dikshit, 2010).

# **5.4 Public-Private Partnerships**

Government initiatives under schemes like the National Mission on Sustainable Agriculture (NMSA) have incentivized capacity building and Research and Development in biocontrol mass production. Notably, collaborations between ICAR and private firms have resulted in the commercialization of over 300 biopesticide products by 2016 (Singh et al., 2016).

5

| Technique                         | Agent Type                    | Yield Potential<br>(CFU/g or CFU/ml)   | Shelf-life<br>(Months) | Preferred<br>Carrier       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Solid-state<br>fermentation (SSF) | Trichoderma,<br>Metarhizium   | 10 <sup>7</sup> –10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/g | 4–6                    | Talc, lignite              |
| Liquid fermentation<br>(LF)       | Bt, Pseudomonas,<br>Beauveria | 1.5–2 × 10º CFU/ml                     | 6–12                   | Liquid<br>suspensions      |
| Encapsulation/gel<br>beads        | Pseudomonas,<br>Trichoderma   | 10º CFU/ml (approx.)                   | 8–12                   | Alginate,<br>polymer beads |

 Table 2: Comparative Yield and Shelf-Life of Mass Production Techniques for Key Biocontrol Agents

Source: Adapted from Kumar et al. (2010); Gupta and Dikshit (2010); Rangaswamy et al. (2014)

# 6. Quality Control Parameters and Regulatory Framework in India

Quality control (QC) in the production and application of biocontrol agents (BCAs) is vital to ensure efficacy, safety, and shelf-life stability. In India, a robust regulatory framework guided by the Insecticides Act, 1968 and monitored by the Central Insecticides Board and Registration Committee (CIBRC) ensures quality compliance of biopesticide products.

# **6.1 Quality Control Parameters**

The standard QC parameters for microbial BCAs include colony-forming units (CFU), pH, moisture content, contamination level, and shelf-life duration. For instance, the minimum CFU count for *Trichoderma harzianum* must be  $2 \times 10^6$  CFU/g in solid formulations and  $1 \times 10^7$  CFU/ml in liquid formulations (CIBRC, 2015). Additionally, moisture content should not exceed 20 percent, and the contamination level must be below 0.01 percent to maintain formulation purity (Gupta and Dikshit, 2010).

# **6.2 Accreditation and Testing**

The quality control infrastructure includes over 150 State Biocontrol Laboratories (SBCLs) and 35 Central/ICAR-accredited laboratories, which routinely conduct testing under Good Laboratory Practices (GLP). Laboratories use serial dilution, spore counting, and viability testing techniques for QC assessments (Rangaswamy et al., 2014).

# **6.3 Regulatory Framework**

All biocontrol products must be registered under Section 9(3) of the Insecticides Act before commercialization. As of 2016, over 300 biopesticide products and 15 active microbial strains were formally registered in India (CIBRC, 2015). Regulatory reforms have also made it mandatory for manufacturers to include detailed labelling, expiration date, and storage instructions.

| <b>Biocontrol Agent</b>    | Formulation<br>Type | Minimum CFU<br>Required          | Moisture Limit<br>(percent) | Shelf-life<br>(Months) |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Trichoderma<br>harzianum   | Talc-based          | $2 \times 10^{6} \text{ CFU/g}$  | $\leq$ 20 percent           | 4–6                    |
| Pseudomonas<br>fluorescens | Liquid              | $1 \times 10^7  \mathrm{CFU/ml}$ |                             | 6–12                   |
| Bacillus thuringiensis     | Liquid/Solid        | 1.5 × 10º CFU/ml                 | $\leq$ 15 percent           | 6–12                   |

Table 3: Quality Standards for Common Biocontrol Agents as per CIBRC Guidelines

Source: Adapted from Gupta and Dikshit (2010); CIBRC (2015)

#### 7. Constraints and Challenges in the Use of Biocontrol Agents in India

Despite significant advancements in the development and mass production of biocontrol agents (BCAs) in India, their widespread adoption faces several barriers. These challenges encompass technical, economic, and sociocultural factors that affect the overall effectiveness and scalability of biocontrol products.

# 7.1 Limited Awareness and Knowledge

One of the major constraints is the limited awareness among farmers about the benefits and proper usage of BCAs. According to a survey conducted by Singh et al. (2015), over 65 percent of Indian farmers in semiarid regions were unaware of the potential of BCAs as an alternative to chemical pesticides. This knowledge gap hampers the adoption rate, despite the fact that 30–40 percent of farmers in India have expressed interest in using biocontrol products if properly educated (Gupta and Dikshit, 2010).

# 7.2 Inconsistent Efficacy in Diverse Agro-ecosystems

The efficacy of BCAs often varies due to the diversity of agro-ecosystems across India. Factors such as climate variability, soil type, and pest resistance patterns can influence the performance of biocontrol agents (Rangaswamy et al., 2014). For example, *Trichoderma harzianum* has shown varying degrees of success, with control efficacy ranging from 50 percent to 90 percent in different agro-climatic zones (Kumar et al., 2010). This inconsistency in performance creates uncertainty among farmers, particularly those in regions where environmental conditions may not Favor BCA survival.

# 7.3 High Cost of Production and Application

Despite technological advancements in production, the cost of production for high-quality BCAs remains a challenge. Production costs for biocontrol agents such as *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Trichoderma* can be 3–5 times higher than chemical pesticides (Rangaswamy et al., 2014). This disparity in cost makes BCAs less attractive to small-scale farmers who often lack the financial capacity to adopt these alternatives.

# 7.4 Regulatory and Quality Assurance Issues

While India has made progress in setting up quality control measures, regulatory bottlenecks and inconsistent enforcement often delay product approvals. In 2015, the CIBRC faced a backlog of over 120 biopesticide product registrations, with several awaiting approval for over 2 years (CIBRC, 2015). These delays restrict the timely availability of biocontrol products in the market.

#### 8. Future Prospects

The future of biocontrol agents (BCAs) in India holds great promise, particularly in light of increasing concerns over pesticide resistance, environmental sustainability, and the need for safe food production systems. With continuous advancements in research and technology, the scope for BCAs in pest management is expected to expand significantly in the coming years.

#### **8.1 Future Prospects**

The integration of biocontrol agents with other sustainable farming practices such as Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and organic farming is one of the most promising developments. In India, the adoption of IPM strategies incorporating BCAs has been shown to reduce pesticide use by up to 60 percent, while maintaining crop yield and quality (Rangaswamy et al., 2014). This approach not only reduces costs for farmers but also minimizes environmental contamination, contributing to more sustainable agricultural practices.

#### 8.2 Advances in Mass Production Techniques

The mass production of biocontrol agents is likely to become more cost-effective with the development of novel fermentation technologies and the optimization of growth conditions. Studies indicate that the use of solid-state fermentation for *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Trichoderma harzianum* has resulted in a 25 percent reduction in production costs while maintaining product quality (Kumar et al., 2010). Furthermore, the use of genetic engineering to enhance the pathogenicity and shelf life of biocontrol agents may further boost their effectiveness (Rangaswamy et al., 2014).

#### **8.3 Policy Support and Research Investment**

Government policies are expected to play a crucial role in shaping the future of biocontrol agents in India. The National Mission on Agricultural Extension and Technology has already allocated ₹450 crore for the promotion of biocontrol measures, aiming to encourage sustainable agricultural practices across the country (Ministry of Agriculture, 2015). Additionally, increased funding for research and development into the isolation of new biocontrol agents will be crucial for expanding the range of pests that can be controlled using these methods.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, biocontrol agents (BCAs) represent a sustainable and eco-friendly solution to the growing challenge of pest management in India. Despite their significant potential to replace chemical pesticides, the widespread adoption of BCAs remains hindered by multiple factors, including limited farmer awareness, high production costs, and inconsistent performance across diverse agro-ecosystems. However, the ongoing advancements in the mass production techniques and genetic engineering of biocontrol agents, coupled with stronger regulatory frameworks and government initiatives, promise to alleviate many of these challenges.

The integration of BCAs within Integrated Pest Management (IPM) systems holds immense potential, with studies indicating up to a 60% reduction in pesticide usage and improved crop sustainability. As the country faces escalating concerns regarding environmental degradation, pesticide resistance, and food security, biocontrol offers a viable path forward. However, substantial efforts in capacity building, research funding, and farmer education are necessary to ensure the scalability and success of biocontrol technologies in India's diverse agricultural landscape.

The future of biocontrol in India lies in innovative production methods, multi-tiered policy support, and interdisciplinary research aimed at enhancing the efficacy, shelf-life, and cost-effectiveness of these agents. By addressing the current barriers and seizing emerging opportunities, biocontrol agents can significantly contribute to the sustainability of Indian agriculture, ensuring a healthier environment and safer food for generations to come.

#### References

- 1. CIBRC. (2015). Annual report of the Central Insecticides Board and Registration Committee. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- 2. Dhaliwal, G. S., Jindal, V., and Mohindru, B. (2010). Crop losses due to insect pests: Global and Indian scenario. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 72(1), 1-8.
- 3. Gupta, R., and Dikshit, A. K. (2010). Biopesticides and their role in pest management: Current status and future prospects. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 80(8), 618-625.
- 4. Isman, M. B. (2006). Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 51, 45-66.
- 5. Kaya, H. K., and Gaugler, R. (1993). Entomopathogenic nematodes. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 38, 181-206.
- 6. Krishnamoorthy, A., Singh, S. J., and Devarajan, K. (2009). Augmentative biocontrol of insect pests in India: Status and prospects. *Journal of Biological Control*, 23(1), 1-8.
- 7. Kumar, R., Singh, H., and Mehta, S. (2010). *Trichoderma harzianum*: Production and utilization in integrated pest management. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 20(3), 237-246.
- 8. Ministry of Agriculture. (2015). *National Mission on Agricultural Extension and Technology (NMAET) Annual Report*. Government of India.
- 9. Mukherjee, P. K., and Singh, H. B. (2012). Microbial antagonists for plant disease management: Current trends and future prospects. *Indian Phytopathology*, 65(2), 109-117.
- 10. Pimentel, D. (2005). Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the United States. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 7, 229-252.
- 11. Rangaswamy, V., Rao, R. M., and Prasad, A. R. (2014). Challenges and strategies in biocontrol of pests in Indian agriculture. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 84(9), 1040-1046.
- 12. Singh, S., Kumar, R., and Verma, P. (2015). Farmer perceptions and adoption of biocontrol agents in pest management: A study in semi-arid regions of India. *Agricultural Systems*, 135, 21-30.
- 13. Sithanantham, S. (2014). Biopesticides in India: Progress and perspectives. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 7(1), 1-10.