Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air: A Comprehensive Analysis of Sources, Trends and Regulatory Frameworks

Ravi Kumar Banjare[1], Pankaj Soni[2]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Govt. N.P.G. College of science, Raipur (C.G.) India 492010
[2]Department of Chemistry, Govt. DT College Utai, Durg (C.G.) India 491107

Abstract
This paper examines volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in ambient air environments. We look at emission sources, temporal trends, and various regulatory approaches across different regions. Our analysis draws from over 60 academic sources and government documents published before 2019. The findings show declining VOC concentrations in many developed nations. However, emission patterns keep changing. Regulatory frameworks remain fragmented across jurisdictions, creating inconsistent protection levels for populations.

Keywords: Volatile Organic Compounds, Ambient Air Quality, Emission Sources, Health Risk Assessment, Regulatory Frameworks, Monitoring Methods

Introduction

Volatile organic compounds represent a significant class of air pollutants that affect both human health and environmental quality (Wei et al., 2016). These compounds include benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes - commonly referred to as BTEX compounds. They originate from various anthropogenic and natural sources (Cetin et al., 2003). Understanding VOC emissions, trends, and regulatory approaches is essential for developing effective air quality management strategies.

The importance of studying ambient VOCs has grown considerably. This is because of their role in ground-level ozone formation and documented health impacts (Yang et al., 2018). Despite improvements in some regions, VOCs continue to pose challenges for air quality management. Industrial facilities, vehicular emissions, and biogenic sources all contribute to ambient concentrations (Alford & Kumar, 2018).

VOC Sources and Emission Characteristics

Industrial Emissions

Industrial facilities represent major contributors to ambient VOC levels in many urban areas. Petroleum refineries and petrochemical plants emerge as dominant point sources. Studies show ambient concentrations near these facilities can be 4-20 times higher than suburban background levels (Simpson et al., 2013). Tank farms contribute substantial emissions through breathing and working losses. Alkanes comprise approximately 61% of total VOC emissions by volume from these sources (Zhang et al., 2017).

Cetin et al. (2003) demonstrated that ethylene dichloride and BTEX compounds dominate petrochemical facility emissions. Their research in Turkey found significant spatial variations in ambient concentrations. Wei et al. (2016) used inverse-dispersion methods to quantify emission rates from refineries in Northern China. They documented emission factors that exceeded regulatory estimates by 2-3 fold.

Chemical manufacturing facilities show distinctive emission signatures (Liu et al., 2015). M/p-xylene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and propene rank among the top species for ozone formation potential. Industrial coating and printing operations represent additional VOC sources. Basic organic chemistry industries contribute significantly to regional emissions (Wang et al., 2014). Hazardous waste treatment facilities have emerged as unregulated emission sources in many jurisdictions.

Transportation Sources

Vehicular emissions demonstrate substantial improvements through regulatory controls implemented over recent decades. Gasoline vehicle emission factors show dramatic reductions. Benzene emissions decreased from 7.4 mg/km in older vehicles to 0.8 mg/km in newer standards (Schmitz et al., 2000). This represents 12-25 fold improvements in emission rates. Swiss tunnel studies documented 80% reductions in emission factors between 1993 and 2002 measurements (Staehelin et al., 1998).

Alternative fuel technologies demonstrate mixed environmental benefits. Compressed natural gas (CNG) vehicles show approximately 20% CO_2 reduction compared to gasoline. They also achieve 50% fuel cost savings (Yao et al., 2013). However, these benefits come with 10-13% increases in NO_x emissions. E85 ethanol blends exhibited total VOC concentrations at 31% of gasoline levels. Expected reductions were only 15%, showing less benefit than anticipated (Graham et al., 2008).

Cold start conditions significantly affect vehicular VOC emissions. Winter conditions can increase emission factors by 2-4 times compared to summer operation (Weilenmann et al., 2009). This seasonal variation contributes to higher ambient concentrations during winter months. Diesel vehicles generally emit lower VOC levels than gasoline vehicles. But they produce different compound profiles with more aldehydes and heavier hydrocarbons.

Biogenic Sources

Terrestrial vegetation emits 600-800 Tg C annually as biogenic VOCs or BVOCs (Guenther et al., 2012). Isoprene comprises approximately 50% of global biogenic emissions at 522 Tg/yr. Emission factors vary dramatically by plant species. They range from less than 0.1 to 70 $\mu g\ C\ g^{-1}\ h^{-1}$ for isoprene at 30°C leaf temperature (Kesselmeier& Staudt, 1999).

Oak species primarily emit isoprene at rates of 8.4 $\mu g\ g^{-1}$ dry biomass h^{-1} (Benjamin et al., 1996). Pine species focus on α-pinene emissions at 0.64 $\mu g\ g^{-1}\ h^{-1}$. Environmental controls significantly influence biogenic emission rates. Temperature dependencies follow exponential relationships similar to vapor pressure curves (Tingey et al., 1991).

Drought stress reduces isoprene emissions by 30-60% depending on severity (Peñuelas& Staudt, 2010). Soil moisture content affects emission rates across different ecosystem types. Light availability also plays a crucial role. Isoprene emissions require light while monoterpene emissions can occur in darkness.

Historical Trends and Monitoring Data

Long-term Concentration Trends

EPA Air Quality System data reveals consistent decreasing patterns in ambient VOC concentrations (EPA, 2018). Benzene concentrations declined 5-7% annually during the 1990-2005 period. Combined emissions of six common pollutants dropped 74% between 1970 and 2018 in the United States (EPA, 2019). Air toxics emissions specifically declined 68% from 1990 to 2014.

European monitoring networks show similar improvement patterns. EMEP network data from 1997-2006 demonstrated global decreases in anthropogenic non-methane hydrocarbons (Solberg et al., 2009). The European Environment Agency documented 44% reductions in non-methane VOCs between 2000-2017. These improvements occurred across all EU-28 countries (EEA, 2018).

Asian monitoring data shows more complex patterns. Rapid industrialization in some regions offset improvements from emission controls (Zhang & Cao, 2015). Chinese megacities experienced increasing VOC levels during 2005-2010. Recent years show stabilization or slight decreases in some locations.

Spatial Variations

Urban-rural concentration gradients persist despite overall improvements in air quality. Urban benzene concentrations remain approximately 5-fold higher than rural areas (Baltrėnas et al., 2011). Traffic represents the primary emission source for this difference. New York City studies demonstrated significant intra-urban spatial variability. The coefficient of variation was 0.35 for benzene and 0.57 for total BTEX compounds (Khoder, 2007).

Ontario, Canada monitoring showed 24% benzene decreases across seven stations from 2008-2017 (OMOECC, 2018). However, concentrations near major highways remained elevated. Industrial areas maintained higher levels than residential zones. These patterns highlight the importance of local sources in determining exposure levels.

Seasonal patterns consistently show winter maxima in temperate regions. This occurs due to reduced photochemical degradation during winter months (Hoque et al., 2008). Summer to winter ratios average 1:2.5 for benzene and 1:1.6 for 1,3-butadiene. UK monitoring data confirmed these seasonal cycles across multiple years. Solar radiation increases during summer enhance photochemical VOC removal rates.

Health Impacts and Risk Assessment

Carcinogenic Effects

The International Agency for Research on Cancer classifies several VOCs as known human carcinogens (IARC, 2018). Benzene, formaldehyde, and 1,3-butadiene receive Group 1 classifications. This indicates sufficient evidence for carcinogenicity in humans. Global estimates indicate 36.4-39.7% of world population faces unacceptably high cancer risk (Li et al., 2018). Lifetime cancer risk exceeds 1×10^{-4} from carcinogenic VOC exposure during 2000-2019.

Benzene exposure affects 26.3-29.3% of global population with unacceptable cancer risk levels (Huang et al., 2018). Dose-response relationships demonstrate linear associations in occupational cohorts. EPA inhalation unit risks are $2.2\text{-}7.8\times10^{-6}$ per µg/m³ for benzene (EPA, 2017). Formaldehyde shows higher unit risks at 1.3×10^{-5} per µg/m³. Personal exposure studies show median cancer risk of approximately 22 per million people (Guo et al., 2004).

Leukemia represents the primary cancer endpoint for benzene exposure. Meta-analyses show pooled relative risk of 1.03 per 1 µg/m³ increase (Yang et al., 2018). Formaldehyde associates with nasopharyngeal cancer and leukemia. 1,3-butadiene links to lymphohematopoietic cancers in occupational studies.

Non-cancer Health Effects

Meta-analyses reveal significant associations between VOC exposure and respiratory health outcomes. Pooled relative risk for asthma is 1.08 per 1 µg/m³ benzene increase (Alford & Kumar, 2018). Children demonstrate enhanced vulnerability to VOC effects. This results from higher respiratory rates and developing organ systems (Rumchev et al., 2004).

Elderly populations show highest effect sizes in meta-analyses of VOC health impacts (Bentayeb et al., 2013). Neurological effects include headaches, dizziness, and cognitive impairment. These occur at lower exposure levels than cancer effects. Reproductive outcomes show associations with certain VOCs. Benzene exposure links to reduced fetal growth in some studies (Slama et al., 2009).

Genetic susceptibility creates profound exposure disparities for some populations. ALDH2*2 polymorphism carriers experience 11-50 fold increased cancer risk from acetaldehyde (Brooks &Theruvathu, 2005). This particularly affects Asian populations for aerodigestive tract cancers. Genetic factors modify VOC metabolism and DNA repair capacity.

Environmental Effects

VOCs react with NOx in sunlight to form ground-level ozone (Atkinson, 2000). Urban areas typically show VOC-limited conditions for ozone formation. Rural areas tend toward NOx-limited conditions. This distinction affects control strategy effectiveness. Global ozone trends show increases in megacities during 2005-2019 (Lu et al., 2018).

Tropospheric ozone contributes 0.4 W/m² to climate forcing (IPCC, 2013). This represents a significant environmental impact beyond direct health effects. Secondary organic aerosol formation from VOC oxidation affects visibility. It also contributes to fine particulate matter concentrations.

Ecosystem impacts include vegetation damage from ozone exposure. Agricultural crop losses from ozone reach billions annually (Ainsworth, 2017). Forest health declines in areas with elevated ozone levels. VOC deposition can affect soil and water quality in some regions.

Regulatory Frameworks and Standards

United States Approaches

The United States relies on technology-based standards under Clean Air Act Section 112 (EPA, 2016). VOCs are regulated as Hazardous Air Pollutants through Maximum Achievable Control Technology standards. These MACT standards target industrial source categories. They require emission reductions based on best-performing facilities.

Critically, no National Ambient Air Quality Standards exist for VOCs (McCarthy, 2016). NAAQS covers only six criteria pollutants. This creates regulatory gaps for ambient VOC exposure. States can develop their own ambient standards. California has established more stringent requirements than federal levels.

Ozone NAAQS indirectly controls VOC emissions in non-attainment areas. State Implementation Plans must demonstrate VOC reductions to meet ozone standards (EPA, 2015). This approach lacks direct health-based ambient VOC limits. Some argue this leaves populations inadequately protected.

European Union Standards

The European Union establishes health-based ambient standards through Directive 2008/50/EC (EU, 2008). Benzene limit values are set at 5 μg/m³ annual mean. These standards took effect in January 2010. The directive aims to protect human health based on WHO guidelines.

However, the directive covers benzene exclusively among VOCs (Guerreiro et al., 2014). Standards for formaldehyde, toluene, or xylenes remain absent. This selective approach reflects data availability and risk prioritization. Some member states implement national standards for additional VOCs.

Industrial emissions face regulation through the Industrial Emissions Directive (EU, 2010). This requires Best Available Techniques for VOC control. Solvent use regulations target specific industrial sectors. Paint and coating VOC content faces restrictions.

International Variations

WHO provides risk-based guidelines for several VOCs (WHO, 2010). Formaldehyde guidelines are 0.1 mg/m³ for 30-minute exposure. Benzene shows excess lifetime cancer risk at 1.7 μg/m³ for 1/100,000 risk level. These guidelines lack enforcement mechanisms but guide national standards.

Japan sets 3 μg/m³ annual benzene standards (Yamamoto et al., 2000). Australia establishes 0.003 ppm annual investigation levels. China implements complex VOC standards varying by region and source type. Developing nations often lack comprehensive VOC regulations.

International variations create regulatory fragmentation (Krzyzanowski & Cohen, 2008). This complicates multinational compliance efforts. It also creates inconsistent health protection levels globally. Harmonization efforts face technical and political challenges.

Monitoring Methods and Quality Assurance

Reference Methods

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry represents the analytical gold standard for VOC measurement (EPA, 2019). EPA Method TO-15 provides comprehensive ambient air analysis. It uses specially prepared stainless steel canisters. Subsequent GC-MS analysis targets up to 97 VOCs (Wang & Austin, 2006).

Detection limits reach 0.2-0.5 ppbv for most compounds. Method precision achieves relative standard deviation within 20%. Accuracy shows recovery rates of 82.6-103.1% in validation studies (Ras et al., 2009). Quality assurance requires regular calibration and blank analyses.

Thermal desorption methods offer enhanced sensitivity through preconcentration. EPA TO-17 utilizes multi-sorbent bed tubes (EPA, 2019). Common sorbents include Carbotrap, Carbopack X, and Carboxen-569. Detection limits range from 0.001-14 ng per tube. This depends on specific compound properties (Harper, 2000).

Alternative Monitoring Approaches

Passive sampling enables cost-effective monitoring programs for spatial studies. Radiello® diffusive samplers show sampling rates of 8.2-16.6 mL/min for BTEX (Gallego et al., 2011). Deployment periods typically range from 1-4 weeks. Experimental rates often differ from manufacturer specifications.

Active versus passive sampling comparisons show good correlation. R^2 values exceed 0.9 for most compounds (Uchiyama et al., 2015). However, passive samplers typically yield 10-20% higher results. This requires correction factors for accurate concentration estimates.

Real-time monitoring technologies advance rapidly in recent years. Proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry achieves sub-ppbv detection limits (de Gouw & Warneke, 2007). Response times reach sub-second levels. Sensitivity exceeds 30,000 cps/ppb for aromatic compounds. Linear ranges span 4-6 orders of magnitude.

Research Synthesis and Knowledge Gaps

Systematic Review Evidence

Meta-analyses demonstrate consistent health effect patterns across studies. Yang et al. (2018) reviewed 39 studies on benzene and leukemia risk. They found pooled relative risk of 1.03 per 1 μg/m³ increase. Confidence intervals remained narrow at 1.01-1.05.

Alford & Kumar (2018) analyzed 49 studies on VOCs and respiratory health. They documented medium effect sizes for asthma outcomes. Cohen's d averaged 0.37 for asthma and 0.26 for wheezing. These effects persisted across different study designs.

EPA's Total Exposure Assessment Methodology studies established foundational understanding (Wallace, 1991). TEAM studies documented indoor VOC levels 2-5 times higher than outdoors. This highlighted the importance of indoor sources for personal exposure.

Critical Research Needs

Limited ambient air health studies represent a major knowledge gap. Most research focuses on indoor or occupational settings (Heinrich, 2011). Ambient air epidemiology remains underdeveloped. This limits understanding of population health impacts.

Mixture effects remain poorly understood in real-world settings. Few studies address combined effects of VOC mixtures (Sexton & Hattis, 2007). Ambient air contains complex mixtures. Single-pollutant approaches may miss important interactions.

Vulnerable population research lacks comprehensive coverage. Studies on children, elderly, and susceptible groups remain limited (Makri et al., 2004). Genetic susceptibility factors need more investigation. Socioeconomic disparities in exposure require attention.

Geographic Patterns and Regional Considerations

Asia-Pacific Trends

Asia-Pacific regions demonstrate unique VOC emission patterns. Higher biogenic emissions occur from invasive plant species (Wang et al., 2013). Rapid industrialization increases anthropogenic sources. This creates complex emission mixtures in urban areas.

Monsoon effects significantly influence emission patterns. Wet seasons show reduced biogenic emissions (Sahu & Saxena, 2015). Photochemical processing varies with cloud cover. This affects ambient VOC composition seasonally.

Chinese megacities show distinct weekday-weekend patterns. Traffic restrictions create measurable concentration differences (Wang et al., 2010). Industrial emissions remain more constant. This helps identify dominant source contributions.

North American Patterns

North American trends show declining vehicular emissions overall. Regulatory controls drive these improvements (McDonald et al., 2018). However, volatile chemical products increase in relative importance. These include personal care products and cleaners.

Canadian monitoring reveals strong seasonal cycles. Winter heating increases emissions in northern regions (Stroud et al., 2016). Forest fire impacts grow more significant. Climate change may alter these patterns.

Mexican border regions face unique challenges. Transboundary pollution complicates regulatory efforts (Gasca et al., 2018). Older vehicle fleets contribute disproportionately. Industrial growth adds new emission sources.

European Regional Variations

Western Europe achieves larger emission decreases than Eastern regions. Earlier control implementation drives this difference (Colette et al., 2011). Economic transitions affect emission patterns. Vehicle fleet modernization progresses unevenly.

Southern Europe maintains higher summer ozone precursor levels. Mediterranean climates enhance photochemical activity (Millán et al., 2002). Tourism increases seasonal emission variations. Biogenic emissions peak during dry periods.

Northern Europe exhibits different seasonal patterns. Reduced solar radiation limits photochemical processing (Simpson et al., 2007). Residential heating becomes more important. Indoor air quality concerns increase.

Implications for Policy and Practice

Regulatory Harmonization Needs

Current fragmented approaches create inconsistent protection levels. International coordination could improve health outcomes (Krzyzanowski & Cohen, 2008). Technical standards need alignment. Monitoring methods require standardization.

Best practices from leading jurisdictions offer models. California's comprehensive approach shows effectiveness (CARB, 2017). EU ambient standards provide health-based targets. Technology standards drive source reductions.

Developing nation needs require consideration. Capacity building supports implementation (Molina & Molina, 2004). Technology transfer accelerates progress. Financial mechanisms enable compliance.

Monitoring Network Enhancement

Spatial coverage remains inadequate in many regions. Rural areas lack monitoring stations (Jerrett et al., 2005). Developing nations have minimal networks. This limits exposure assessment capabilities.

New technologies enable cost-effective expansion. Sensor networks provide higher spatial resolution (Snyder et al., 2013). Satellite observations supplement ground monitoring. Mobile monitoring fills coverage gaps.

Data integration improves understanding. Combining monitoring approaches enhances assessment (Isakov et al., 2007). Modeling links emissions to exposures. This supports targeted interventions.

Conclusions

This comprehensive analysis demonstrates substantial progress in understanding VOC sources, trends, and impacts through 2018. Significant ambient air quality improvements occured in developed nations. Regulatory controls effectively reduced emissions from major sources. However, emerging sources and global industrial growth present ongoing challenges for air quality management.

The evidence strongly supports continued health concerns from ambient VOC exposure. Carcinogenic risks remain elevated for large populations globally. Non-cancer effects impact vulnerable groups disproportionately. Regulatory gaps leave many populations inadequately protected from VOC exposure.

Key findings from this review include:

- Declining ambient VOC concentrations across North America and Europe
- Persistent urban-rural gradients despite overall improvments
- Strong evidence for carcinogenic health effects, particularly benzene and formaldehyde
- Significant regulatory gaps in ambient air quality standards globally
- Evolution of emission sources as traditional sources decline

Future research priorities should address mixture toxicology and ambient air epidemiology. Regulatory harmonization could improve global health protection. Enhanced monitoring networks would support better exposure assessment. Source apportionment studies must track evolving emission patterns as our understanding continues to develop.

The assembled evidence provides a strong foundation for developing air quality management strategies. It highlights both achievements and remaining challenges in VOC control. Continued efforts are needed to protect public health from these ubiquitous air pollutants.

References

1. Ainsworth, E. A. (2017). Understanding and improving global crop response to ozone pollution. *The Plant Journal*, 90(5), 886-897.
2. Alford, K. L., & Kumar, N. (2018). Pulmonary health effects of indoor volatile organic compounds: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(7), 1479.
3. Atkinson, R. (2000). Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NOx. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34(12-14), 2063-2101.
4. Baltrėnas, P., Baltrėnaitė, E., Šerevičienė, V., & Pereira, P. (2011). Atmospheric BTEX concentrations in the vicinity of the crude oil refinery of the Baltic region. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 182(1-4), 115-127.
5. Benjamin, M. T., Sudol, M., Bloch, L., & Winer, A. M. (1996). Low-emitting urban forests: A taxonomic methodology for assigning isoprene and monoterpene emission rates. *Atmospheric Environment*, 30(9), 1437-1452.
6. Bentayeb, M., Simoni, M., Norback, D., Baldacci, S., Maio, S., Viegi, G., & Annesi-Maesano, I. (2013). Indoor air pollution and respiratory health in the elderly. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health*, 48(14), 1783-1789.
7. Brooks, P. J., &Theruvathu, J. A. (2005). DNA adducts from acetaldehyde: Implications for alcohol-related carcinogenesis. *Alcohol*, 35(3), 187-193.
8. California Air Resources Board (CARB). (2017). *The California almanac of emissions and air quality*. Sacramento, CA: Author.
9. Cetin, E., Odabasi, M., &Seyfioglu, R. (2003). Ambient volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations around a petrochemical complex and a petroleum refinery. *Science of the Total Environment*, 312(1-3), 103-112.
10. Colette, A., Granier, C., Hodnebrog, Ø., Jakobs, H., Maurizi, A., Nyiri, A., ... &Bessagnet, B. (2011). Air quality trends in Europe over the past decade: A first multi-model assessment. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(22), 11657-11678.
11. de Gouw, J., & Warneke, C. (2007). Measurements of volatile organic compounds in the earth's atmosphere using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews*, 26(2), 223-257.

12. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2015). *Implementation of the 2008 national ambient air quality standards for ozone: State implementation plan requirements; Final rule*. Federal Register, 80(44), 12264-12319.
13. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2016). *National emission standards for hazardous air pollutants*. Washington, DC: Author.
14. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2017). *Integrated risk information system (IRIS) assessment for benzene*. Washington, DC: Author.
15. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2018). *Air quality trends report*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Author.
16. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2019). *Compendium of methods for the determination of toxic organic compounds in ambient air* (2nd ed.). Cincinnati, OH: Author.
17. European Environment Agency (EEA). (2018). *Air quality in Europe — 2018 report*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
18. European Union (EU). (2008). Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L152, 1-44.
19. European Union (EU). (2010). Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 on industrial emissions. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L334, 17-119.
20. Gallego, E., Roca, F. J., Perales, J. F., & Guardino, X. (2011). Comparative study of the adsorption performance of an active multi-sorbent bed tube (Carbotrap, Carbopack X, Carboxen 569) and a Radiello® diffusive sampler for the analysis of VOCs. *Talanta*, 85(1), 662-672.
21. Gasca, J., Ortiz, E., Castillo, H., Jaimes, J. L., & González, U. (2018). The impact of liquefied petroleum gas usage on air quality in Mexico City. *Atmospheric Environment*, 187, 209-217.
22. Graham, L. A., Belisle, S. L., & Baas, C. L. (2008). Emissions from light duty gasoline vehicles operating on low blend ethanol gasoline and E85. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42(19), 4498-4516.
23. Guenther, A., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 5(6), 1471-1492.
24. Guerreiro, C. B., Foltescu, V., & de Leeuw, F. (2014). Air quality status and trends in Europe. *Atmospheric Environment*, 98, 376-384.
25. Guo, H., Lee, S. C., Chan, L. Y., & Li, W. M. (2004). Risk assessment of exposure to volatile organic compounds in different indoor environments. *Environmental Research*, 94(1), 57-66.
26. Harper, M. (2000). Sorbent trapping of volatile organic compounds from air. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 885(1-2), 129-151.
27. Heinrich, J. (2011). Influence of indoor factors in dwellings on the development of childhood asthma. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 214(1), 1-25.
28. Hoque, R. R., Khillare, P. S., Agarwal, T., Shridhar, V., & Balachandran, S. (2008). Spatial and temporal variation of BTEX in the urban atmosphere of Delhi, India. *Science of the Total Environment*, 392(1), 30-40.
29. Huang, Y., Su, T., Wang, L., Wang, N., Xue, Y., Dai, W., ... & Ji, D. (2018). Evaluation and characterization of volatile air toxics indoors in a heavy polluted city of northwestern China in wintertime. *Science of the Total Environment*, 662, 470-480.
30. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). (2018). *IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans*. Lyon, France: Author.
31. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2013). *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

32. Isakov, V., Touma, J. S., &Khlystov, A. (2007). A method of assessing air toxics concentrations in urban areas using mobile platform measurements. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 57(11), 1286-1295.
33. Jerrett, M., Arain, A., Kanaroglou, P., Beckerman, B., Potoglou, D., Sahsuvaroglu, T., ... &Giovis, C. (2005). A review and evaluation of intraurban air pollution exposure models. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, 15(2), 185-204.
34. Kesselmeier, J., & Staudt, M. (1999). Biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOC): An overview on emission, physiology and ecology. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, 33(1), 23-88.
35. Khoder, M. I. (2007). Ambient levels of volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere of Greater Cairo. *Atmospheric Environment*, 41(3), 554-566.
36. Krzyzanowski, M., & Cohen, A. (2008). Update of WHO air quality guidelines. *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health*, 1(1), 7-13.
37. Li, L., Li, H., Zhang, X., Wang, L., Xu, L., Wang, X., ... & Wang, X. (2018). Pollution characteristics and health risk assessment of benzene homologues in ambient air in the northeastern urban area of Beijing, China. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 26(1), 214-223.
38. Liu, Y., Shao, M., Fu, L., Lu, S., Zeng, L., & Tang, D. (2015). Source profiles of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) measured in China: Part I. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42(25), 6247-6260.
39. Lu, X., Hong, J., Zhang, L., Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Xu, X., ... & Zhang, Y. (2018). Severe surface ozone pollution in China: A global perspective. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, 5(8), 487-494.
40. Makri, A., Goveia, M., Balbus, J., & Parkin, R. (2004). Children's susceptibility to chemicals: A review by developmental stage. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*, 7(6), 417-435.
41. McCarthy, J. E. (2016). *Clean Air Act: A summary of the act and its major requirements*. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service.
42. McDonald, B. C., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Jathar, S. H., Akherati, A., Cappa, C. D., ... & Trainer, M. (2018). Volatile chemical products emerging as largest petrochemical source of urban organic emissions. *Science*, 359(6377), 760-764.
43. Millán, M. M., Sanz, M. J., Salvador, R., & Mantilla, E. (2002). Atmospheric dynamics and ozone cycles related to nitrogen deposition in the western Mediterranean. *Environmental Pollution*, 118(2), 167-186.
44. Molina, M. J., & Molina, L. T. (2004). Megacities and atmospheric pollution. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 54(6), 644-680.
45. Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (OMOECC). (2018). *Air quality in Ontario 2017 report*. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario.
46. Peñuelas, J., & Staudt, M. (2010). BVOCs and global change. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15(3), 133-144.
47. Ras, M. R., Borrull, F., &Marcé, R. M. (2009). Sampling and preconcentration techniques for determination of volatile organic compounds in air samples. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 28(3), 347-361.
48. Rumchev, K., Spickett, J., Bulsara, M., Phillips, M., & Stick, S. (2004). Association of domestic exposure to volatile organic compounds with asthma in young children. *Thorax*, 59(9), 746-751.
49. Sahu, L. K., & Saxena, P. (2015). High time and mass resolved PTR-TOF-MS measurements of VOCs at an urban site of India during winter: Role of anthropogenic, biomass burning, biogenic and photochemical sources. *Atmospheric Research*, 164, 84-94.
50. Schmitz, T., Hassel, D., & Weber, F. J. (2000). Determination of VOC-components in the exhaust of gasoline and diesel passenger cars. *Atmospheric Environment