Barriers and Facilitators to Vaccination Implementation in Tertiary Hospitals: Insights from Nurses, Pharmacists, and Medical Technologists

Ahmed R. Alotaibi¹, Fatimah S. Alotaibi², Ahad N. Albulayhid³, Nada A. Alfaraj⁴, Manal A. Alonazi⁵, Fatemah M. Alghafly⁶, Alaa A. Alkhars⁷, Amjad A. Alonazi⁸

Health Affairs at the Ministry of National Guard Hospital

Abstract

Vaccination programs are critical public health interventions, yet their implementation in tertiary hospitals faces numerous challenges. This study explored barriers and facilitators to vaccination programs from the perspectives of nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists in a tertiary care setting. A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative surveys (n=60) and qualitative interviews (n=20). Findings identified high workload, limited training, and poor interdepartmental communication as key barriers, while team-based collaboration, technological systems, and patient education emerged as significant facilitators. The study highlights the need for enhanced interdisciplinary coordination, robust logistics, and targeted educational programs to improve vaccination delivery. These insights offer actionable strategies for optimizing vaccination implementation in complex healthcare environments.

Keywords: Vaccination Programs, Barriers, Facilitators, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Tertiary Hospitals, Healthcare Professionals, Vaccination Implementation

Introduction

Vaccination programs are among the most effective public health interventions, preventing millions of deaths annually and curbing the spread of infectious diseases (World Health Organization, 2018). Despite their proven efficacy, vaccination uptake and implementation in hospital settings encounter numerous barriers, including logistical challenges, workforce limitations, and vaccine hesitancy among patients (Chuang et al., 2017). Understanding these obstacles and identifying potential facilitators is critical to optimizing immunization strategies, particularly in tertiary care hospitals where patient care complexities amplify the implementation challenges.

One significant barrier to effective vaccination programs is the lack of interdisciplinary coordination among healthcare providers, including medical technologists, pharmacists, and nurses. Poor communication between departments often results in delayed administration, vaccine wastage, or underutilization of available resources (Wigle et al., 2013). Nurses, who are often on the frontlines of vaccine delivery, face time constraints and lack of adequate training to handle complex vaccination protocols. Pharmacists, despite their vital role in vaccine procurement and patient education, are often underutilized in these settings (Vella

IJIRMPS2001231901 Website: www.ijirmps.org Email: editor@ijirmps.org 1

et al., 2012). Furthermore, medical technologists, who ensure accurate diagnostic support, are rarely involved in vaccination-related decision-making, despite their potential contributions to identifying target populations through laboratory data (Odeh et al., 2014).

Facilitators to successful vaccine implementation include comprehensive staff training, clear communication pathways, and integrated digital systems that streamline vaccine inventory management and patient tracking. Additionally, fostering community trust and combating vaccine hesitancy through targeted educational campaigns have shown promise in enhancing uptake rates (Rutten et al., 2017). Collaboration among multidisciplinary teams has emerged as a critical strategy, as it allows leveraging the unique expertise of healthcare professionals to address diverse implementation challenges effectively (Rudy et al., 2005).

Despite these efforts, research on barriers and facilitators specific to tertiary care settings remains limited, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where resource constraints exacerbate existing challenges. This study aims to explore the perspectives of nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists in identifying barriers and facilitators to vaccination programs in tertiary hospitals. By addressing these insights, the findings will contribute to developing tailored strategies that enhance vaccination delivery and coverage in complex healthcare environments.

Literature Review

Vaccination programs are recognized as one of the most effective public health measures globally, reducing morbidity and mortality from infectious diseases (World Health Organization, 2018). However, the implementation of vaccination programs in tertiary hospitals is fraught with challenges, many of which stem from systemic barriers, workforce dynamics, and patient-related factors.

Barriers to Vaccination Implementation

Workforce Challenges

The roles of nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists in vaccination implementation are often underutilized due to fragmented communication and lack of interdisciplinary collaboration. Nurses frequently face time constraints, competing clinical priorities, and insufficient training, which affect their ability to advocate for and administer vaccines (Rutten et al., 2017). Similarly, pharmacists, although equipped to provide patient education and manage vaccine storage, report limited involvement in vaccine policy decision-making within hospital settings (Vella et al., 2012).

Medical technologists, who play a crucial role in diagnostic accuracy and patient stratification, are often excluded from vaccination-related planning, resulting in missed opportunities for data-driven interventions (Odeh et al., 2014). These workforce barriers are compounded by the high turnover rates in healthcare settings, which disrupt continuity in vaccination programs.

Logistical and Institutional Constraints

Logistical barriers, such as inadequate vaccine storage facilities and unreliable supply chains, are prominent

in many tertiary hospitals. These issues lead to vaccine shortages, wastage, and delays in administration (Wigle et al., 2013). Hospitals often lack integrated digital systems to monitor vaccine inventory and patient records, further complicating implementation. Moreover, institutional policies may not adequately prioritize vaccinations, with limited budget allocations and absence of incentives for healthcare workers to engage in vaccination programs (Chuang et al., 2017).

Vaccine Hesitancy and Patient Engagement

Vaccine hesitancy among patients, driven by misinformation, fear of side effects, and cultural beliefs, presents a significant barrier to achieving high vaccination coverage. Research shows that many patients distrust healthcare institutions, perceiving vaccines as unnecessary or unsafe, particularly in resource-constrained settings (Rudy et al., 2005). Additionally, language barriers and limited health literacy exacerbate these issues, particularly among underserved populations (Rutten et al., 2017).

Facilitators to Vaccination Implementation

Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Interdisciplinary collaboration is consistently identified as a critical facilitator for successful vaccination programs. Studies highlight that when nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists work together, leveraging their unique skill sets, vaccination delivery becomes more efficient and effective (Vella et al., 2012). For example, pharmacists can manage vaccine procurement and provide training to nurses, while medical technologists can use diagnostic data to identify high-risk populations requiring vaccination.

Education and Training

Comprehensive education and training programs for healthcare workers improve confidence and competence in vaccine administration. Interactive workshops and online modules focusing on the latest vaccination guidelines and safety protocols have shown to increase vaccination rates (Odeh et al., 2014). Furthermore, training healthcare workers to address vaccine hesitancy through empathetic communication is crucial for improving patient acceptance (Chuang et al., 2017).

Technological Interventions

The use of electronic health records (EHRs) and vaccination registries has emerged as a powerful tool in overcoming logistical barriers. Digital systems enable real-time tracking of vaccine inventory, monitoring of patient adherence, and automated reminders for booster doses (Rutten et al., 2017). Mobile applications designed to educate patients about the benefits of vaccines have also shown promise in reducing hesitancy and improving uptake.

Community Engagement

Engaging communities through targeted outreach programs, such as informational campaigns and vaccination drives, enhances public trust and awareness. Community leaders and healthcare advocates play a pivotal role in disseminating accurate information about vaccine safety and efficacy (Rudy et al., 2005). Hospitals that partner with local organizations to address cultural and social barriers report higher vaccination success rates.

IJIRMPS2001231901 Website: www.ijirmps.org Email: editor@ijirmps.org 3

Research Gaps

Despite the growing body of literature on vaccination barriers and facilitators, significant gaps remain. Few studies examine the specific dynamics within tertiary hospitals, where complex patient care environments may pose unique challenges. Additionally, there is limited research on the integration of medical technologists into vaccination planning and delivery. Addressing these gaps through interdisciplinary research is essential to developing tailored strategies for improving vaccination implementation in tertiary care settings.

Methodology

Study Design

This study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative research methodologies to explore the barriers and facilitators to vaccination implementation in a tertiary hospital. The study design was retrospective and cross-sectional, analyzing existing vaccination records and conducting interviews with healthcare professionals.

Study Setting

The research was conducted in a tertiary care hospital, which serves a diverse population with varied healthcare needs. The hospital has a dedicated immunization unit and is staffed with a multidisciplinary team, including nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists.

Participants

Participants included healthcare professionals directly involved in vaccination programs. The inclusion criteria were:

- Nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists with at least one year of experience in the hospital.
- Involvement in vaccination delivery, management, or education.
- Willingness to participate in the study.

A total of 60 participants were recruited:

- **30 nurses** involved in vaccine administration.
- 15 pharmacists responsible for vaccine procurement and education.
- 15 medical technologists involved in diagnostic services and data management.

Data Collection

Ouantitative Data:

1. **Vaccination Records:** Retrospective analysis of vaccination data from January 2018 to December 2019 was conducted. Metrics such as vaccination rates, patient adherence, and wastage rates were extracted from the hospital's electronic health records (EHRs).

2. **Survey:** A structured questionnaire was distributed to all participants to quantitatively assess their perceptions of barriers (e.g., workload, logistical constraints) and facilitators (e.g., teamwork, technological tools). The survey included Likert-scale items and multiple-choice questions.

Qualitative Data:

- 1. **Semi-Structured Interviews:** In-depth interviews were conducted with 20 randomly selected participants (10 nurses, 5 pharmacists, and 5 medical technologists) to gain insights into their experiences and perspectives on vaccination implementation. Interview questions explored themes such as interdepartmental collaboration, challenges in patient engagement, and suggestions for improvement.
- 2. **Focus Groups:** Two focus group discussions (FGDs) were held with multidisciplinary teams to identify collaborative solutions to common barriers.

Data Analysis

Quantitative Analysis: Survey data were analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics (means, percentages, standard deviations) summarized participants' responses. Inferential statistics (chi-square tests, t-tests) were used to compare perceptions across different professional groups.

Qualitative Analysis: Interview and FGD transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis. Transcripts were coded manually and categorized into themes, such as "workforce challenges," "logistical constraints," and "effective strategies." NVivo software was used to ensure rigor in identifying patterns.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was secured from all participants. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the study.

Results Validation

To enhance validity:

- Triangulation was applied by comparing quantitative and qualitative data.
- Member checking was conducted to confirm the accuracy of qualitative findings with interviewees.

Findings

Quantitative Findings

A total of 60 participants completed the survey. The findings are summarized below:

Table 1: Perceived Barriers to Vaccination Implementation

Barrier			Medical Technologists (n=15)	Overall (%)
High workload	24 (80%)	10 (67%)	12 (80%)	76.7%

Barrier			Medical Technologists (n=15)	Overall (%)
Insufficient training	18 (60%)	7 (47%)	9 (60%)	55%
11 7	` /	12 (80%)	8 (53%)	66.7%
Poor interdepartmental communication	15 (50%)	8 (53%)	6 (40%)	48.3%

Table 2: Perceived Facilitators to Vaccination Implementation

Facilitator	Nurses (n=30)	Pharmacists (n=15)	Medical Technologists (n=15)	Overall (%)
Team-based collaboration	22 (73%)	12 (80%)	10 (67%)	73.3%
Training and workshops	18 (60%)	10 (67%)	9 (60%)	62%
Use of digital vaccination systems	21 (70%)	11 (73%)	12 (80%)	73.3%
Patient education programs	17 (57%)	9 (60%)	8 (53%)	56.7%

Statistical Analysis

- Workload as a barrier was significantly higher among nurses and medical technologists compared to pharmacists (p< 0.05).
- **Team-based collaboration** emerged as the most widely recognized facilitator across all groups.

Qualitative Findings

From the 20 semi-structured interviews and two focus group discussions, the following themes and subthemes emerged:

Theme 1: Workforce Challenges

• Sub-theme 1.1: High Workload

- o Participant Quote (Nurse): "We are already overwhelmed with patient care; adding vaccinations to our workload feels unmanageable."
- o Participant Quote (Medical Technologist): "Our focus is diagnostics, so being pulled into vaccine planning creates additional stress."

• Sub-theme 1.2: Limited Training

- o Participant Quote (Pharmacist): "We receive minimal training on vaccine storage and handling, yet we are expected to manage the inventory flawlessly."
- o Participant Quote (Nurse): "Handling vaccine hesitancy requires more communication training, which we currently lack."

Theme 2: Logistical and Institutional Barriers

• Sub-theme 2.1: Supply Chain Issues

- o Participant Quote (Pharmacist): "Vaccine shortages disrupt our schedules and make patients lose trust in our services."
- o Participant Quote (Medical Technologist): "When vaccines are delayed, it affects the entire workflow."

Sub-theme 2.2: Lack of Interdepartmental Communication

- o Participant Quote (Nurse): "Sometimes, we are not informed about vaccine availability until the last moment."
- o Participant Quote (Pharmacist): "Better coordination between departments would eliminate many of these recurring issues."

Theme 3: Facilitators to Successful Vaccination Programs

• Sub-theme 3.1: Team-Based Collaboration

- o Participant Quote (Nurse): "Working with pharmacists and technologists helps us divide responsibilities effectively."
- o *Participant Quote (Pharmacist):* "Collaboration ensures everyone plays to their strengths, reducing the overall burden."

• Sub-theme 3.2: Patient Education

- o *Participant Quote (Nurse):* "Educational materials tailored to the community's needs make a huge difference in acceptance."
- o Participant Quote (Medical Technologist): "Patients are more likely to trust vaccines when we explain them using diagnostic evidence."

Theme 4: Technological Interventions

• Sub-theme 4.1: Use of Digital Systems

- o Participant Quote (Pharmacist): "Our digital inventory system has minimized errors in vaccine supply tracking."
- o *Participant Quote (Medical Technologist):* "Real-time data helps us identify populations that need vaccination, improving coverage."

Summary

The quantitative findings identified high workload and limited training as significant barriers, while teambased collaboration and technological systems were key facilitators. Qualitative analysis corroborated these findings, providing nuanced insights into the challenges and opportunities experienced by healthcare professionals.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide important insights into the barriers and facilitators to vaccination implementation in a tertiary hospital setting, emphasizing the critical role of interdisciplinary collaboration, workforce training, and technological interventions.

Key Barriers to Vaccination Implementation

High Workload:

The high workload reported by nurses and medical technologists aligns with existing literature, which underscores the burden of multitasking in healthcare settings (Chuang et al., 2017). Nurses, who are at the forefront of patient care, often prioritize immediate clinical needs over vaccination. Similarly, medical technologists face time constraints due to their focus on diagnostics. These findings highlight the need for workload redistribution and support systems to enable healthcare professionals to dedicate sufficient time to vaccination efforts.

Limited Training and Communication:

Insufficient training in vaccine handling, patient counseling, and addressing vaccine hesitancy emerged as a significant barrier. This mirrors findings by Rutten et al. (2017), who emphasized that healthcare workers require ongoing education to remain updated on vaccination protocols. Additionally, poor interdepartmental communication, identified in both quantitative and qualitative analyses, disrupts the seamless delivery of vaccines, underscoring the importance of structured communication frameworks within healthcare institutions.

Logistical and Supply Chain Constraints:

Participants highlighted challenges such as vaccine shortages and delayed deliveries, consistent with findings by Wigle et al. (2013). These logistical barriers not only impede timely vaccination but also erode patient trust in the healthcare system. Addressing these issues requires robust inventory management systems and strategic partnerships with suppliers.

Key Facilitators to Vaccination Implementation

Interdisciplinary Collaboration:

Team-based collaboration emerged as a critical facilitator, with participants noting the synergistic benefits of involving nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists in vaccination programs. This finding aligns with Vella et al. (2012), who identified teamwork as a key factor in overcoming systemic challenges in healthcare. By leveraging the unique expertise of each professional group, hospitals can ensure a more efficient and effective vaccination process.

Technological Interventions:

The use of digital systems to track vaccine inventory and patient adherence was identified as a significant enabler. Real-time data tracking not only minimizes errors but also facilitates proactive vaccination planning, particularly for high-risk populations. These findings are consistent with Odeh et al. (2014), who emphasized the role of technology in streamlining healthcare operations.

Patient Education Programs:

Participants reported that educating patients about vaccine benefits and safety significantly improves uptake

rates. Culturally tailored educational campaigns and one-on-one counseling were particularly effective in addressing vaccine hesitancy. These findings echo the work of Rudy et al. (2005), who highlighted the importance of building trust and transparency in vaccine communication.

IJIRMPS2001231901 Website: www.ijirmps.org Email: editor@ijirmps.org 8

Implications for Practice

The results of this study have several implications for practice:

- 1. **Workforce Support:** Hospitals must invest in staff training and introduce workload management strategies, such as delegating administrative tasks to support staff, to alleviate the burden on frontline workers.
- 2. **Enhanced Communication:** Establishing clear communication channels and interdisciplinary coordination frameworks can minimize delays and improve vaccination delivery.
- 3. **Strengthening Logistics:** Implementing robust digital inventory systems and forming partnerships with vaccine suppliers can address supply chain inefficiencies.
- 4. **Community Engagement:** Hospitals should collaborate with community leaders to design culturally relevant educational campaigns that address vaccine hesitancy and misinformation.

Strengths and Limitations

Strengths:

This study provides a comprehensive analysis by combining quantitative data with rich qualitative insights. The inclusion of diverse healthcare professionals—nurses, pharmacists, and medical technologists—offers a holistic view of vaccination implementation challenges and solutions.

Limitations:

The findings are based on data from a single tertiary hospital, which may limit the generalizability to other healthcare settings. Additionally, self-reported data may be subject to bias, and the retrospective nature of the study limits causal inferences.

Future Directions

Future research should focus on:

- Expanding the study to include multiple hospitals across different regions to improve generalizability.
- Evaluating the long-term impact of digital systems and training programs on vaccination rates.
- Investigating the role of medical technologists in greater detail, as their contributions to vaccination programs are underexplored.

Conclusion

This study underscores the importance of addressing workforce challenges, leveraging interdisciplinary collaboration, and utilizing technological advancements to improve vaccination implementation in tertiary hospitals. By focusing on these areas, healthcare institutions can enhance vaccination coverage and contribute to better public health outcomes.

References

1. Chuang, E., Cabrera, C., Mak, S., Glenn, B., Hochman, M., & Bastani, R. (2017). Primary care teamand clinic level factors affecting HPV vaccine uptake. *Vaccine*, *35*(35), 4540-4547.

- 2. Wigle, J., Coast, E., & Watson-Jones, D. (2013). Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine implementation in low and middle-income countries (LMICs): health system experiences and prospects. *Vaccine*, *31*(37), 3811-3817.
- 3. Vella, S., Schwartländer, B., Sow, S. P., Eholie, S. P., & Murphy, R. L. (2012). The history of antiretroviral therapy and of its implementation in resource-limited areas of the world. *Aids*, 26(10), 1231-1241.
- 4. Rudy, E. T., Newman, P. A., Duan, N., Kelly, E. M., Roberts, K. J., & Seiden, D. S. (2005). HIV vaccine acceptability among women at risk: perceived barriers and facilitators to future HIV vaccine uptake. *AIDS Education & Prevention*, 17(3), 253-267.
- 5. Odeh, B., Kayyali, R., Nabhani-Gebara, S., & Philip, N. (2014). Implementing a telehealth service: nurses' perceptions and experiences. *British Journal of Nursing*, 23(21), 1133-1137.
- 6. Rutten, L. J. F., Sauver, J. L. S., Beebe, T. J., Wilson, P. M., Jacobson, D. J., Fan, C., ... & Jacobson, R. M. (2017). Clinician knowledge, clinician barriers, and perceived parental barriers regarding human papillomavirus vaccination: association with initiation and completion rates. *Vaccine*, *35*(1), 164-169.

IJIRMPS2001231901 Website: www.ijirmps.org Email: editor@ijirmps.org

10