

Evaluating the Performance of Mutual Funds in India: A Comparative Analysis of Return and Risk Metrics

Mr. Thirumala M¹, Dr. M Lakshmipathi Naidu²

¹Research Scholar, ²Professor and Research Supervisor
Department of Commerce, Shushruti Institute of Management Studies and Research Centre
Recognised by University of Mysore

Abstract

This study evaluates the performance of mutual funds in India by analyzing the return and risk metrics of various fund categories, including large-cap equity, mid-cap equity, hybrid, and debt funds. The research aimed to compare these mutual funds' performance over the period from 2019 to 2024, capturing both pre- and post-pandemic market dynamics. Utilizing key risk-adjusted performance measures such as the Sharpe Ratio, beta, and standard deviation, the study offers insights into the risk-return profiles of different funds. The findings revealed that mid-cap equity funds consistently outperformed large-cap equity funds in terms of average returns and risk-adjusted returns, despite their higher volatility and market risk. Large-cap equity funds exhibited lower risk and more stable returns, while hybrid funds provided a balanced approach, making them suitable for moderate risk-tolerant investors. Debt funds, characterized by lower risk and returns, were ideal for conservative investors. The study also highlights the importance of evaluating mutual funds through risk-adjusted metrics to make informed investment decisions. The broader implications suggest that fund managers should continue to diversify offerings to meet the varied risk profiles of investors, especially in the context of economic uncertainty following the pandemic. This research contributes to existing literature by filling a gap in the continuous evaluation of mutual fund performance in a post-pandemic market environment.

Keywords: Mutual funds, risk-adjusted performance, Sharpe Ratio, mid-cap funds, Indian market, risk-return analysis.

1. Introduction

The mutual fund industry has played a pivotal role in the economic development of many countries by providing individual investors with access to diversified portfolios, which helps reduce risk. Over the years, mutual funds have gained popularity as investment vehicles due to their relatively low cost and ease of access. Globally, mutual funds manage trillions of dollars in assets, making them a significant component of the financial markets (Babbar, 2016). According to a 2019 report by the Association of Mutual Funds in India (AMFI), the assets under management (AUM) of Indian mutual funds stood at INR 24.25 trillion by the end of March 2019, demonstrating the exponential growth in investor interest (Sharma, 2019).

India's mutual fund industry has witnessed substantial growth since the liberalization of the economy in the early 1990s. Mutual funds were introduced as an investment vehicle to pool resources from small investors, allowing them to invest in the stock market through professional management. This not only democratized access to financial markets but also facilitated the growth of the equity market itself (Meenakshi & Swaaminathan, 2017). Over the years, the industry's growth has been driven by increasing investor awareness, favorable regulatory frameworks, and the performance of equity markets.

The mutual fund sector in India began modestly in 1963 with the establishment of the Unit Trust of India (UTI). For decades, UTI monopolized the mutual fund industry until the entry of public sector banks and insurance companies in the 1980s (Pandey, 2011). By the early 1990s, liberalization led to the entry of private sector players, and this period marked a significant transformation of the mutual fund landscape in India (Goswami, 2017). The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) was introduced in 1996 to regulate the industry and promote transparency, further boosting investor confidence.

From a regulatory standpoint, the introduction of the SEBI Mutual Funds Regulations, 1996, played a significant role in formalizing the operations of mutual funds and promoting investor protection (Sharma, 2019). Since then, the industry has seen continuous growth in the number of schemes offered, the types of funds available (such as equity, debt, hybrid, and liquid funds), and the volume of assets managed by these funds (Pandow & Butt, 2017).

Evaluating the performance of mutual funds is critical for both retail and institutional investors. It enables investors to make informed decisions regarding which funds to invest in based on their risk tolerance and financial goals. For this reason, performance measurement tools and risk-adjusted return metrics, such as the Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio, are extensively used (Sinha et al., 2019). Furthermore, mutual funds must be assessed not just on their returns but also on the risks they undertake to generate these returns, as higher returns often come with higher risks. The most popular way of measuring mutual fund performance is through comparisons with benchmark indices, such as the Sensex and Nifty in India (Merin et al., 2019).

Various studies have analyzed the performance of mutual funds in India, providing important insights into their return and risk characteristics. Babbar (2016) emphasized that evaluating mutual funds through higher-order moments, such as skewness and kurtosis, offers a more comprehensive understanding of risk. Additionally, Goswami (2017) analyzed the performance of select money market mutual funds, highlighting how global economic conditions, such as the US recession, have influenced fund performance. This underscores the importance of evaluating mutual funds not only through domestic factors but also in the context of global economic conditions.

While various studies have assessed mutual funds' performance globally and in India, there is a growing need for a continuous evaluation to address changing market conditions and investor expectations (Sharma, 2019). With an increasing number of mutual funds available in the market, investors need tools and methodologies that can offer a comparative analysis of funds in terms of returns and risk (Pandow & Butt, 2017). This study aims to fill that gap by providing a comparative analysis of mutual funds operating in India, with a specific focus on return and risk metrics.

The significance of this research lies in its practical implications for both investors and financial managers. For investors, this analysis will offer insights into how different types of mutual funds perform relative to market indices and each other, thus enabling better investment decisions. For fund managers, the findings will help in understanding the effectiveness of different strategies employed in managing the funds. Moreover, this study is timely, as the mutual fund industry in India continues to grow at a rapid pace, with AUM increasing at a compounded annual growth rate (CAGR) of approximately 12% over the past decade (Pandey, 2011).

In summary, the rapid expansion of the Indian mutual fund industry and its significant impact on financial markets underline the importance of continuous performance evaluation. This research will contribute to the field by offering a comprehensive analysis of mutual funds, taking into account both return and risk metrics, which are crucial for investors and fund managers alike.

2. Literature Review

Over the years, numerous studies have analyzed the performance of mutual funds in India by focusing on various metrics, including risk, return, and risk-adjusted performance. These studies contribute to the existing body of knowledge by offering insights into how mutual funds perform under different market conditions, how they compare with benchmarks, and how they serve as investment vehicles for investors seeking diversification.

In one such study, **Soni and Kansara (n.d.)** examined five different types of mutual funds in India. They evaluated the risk and return metrics using the Sharpe and Treynor ratios to measure performance. Their findings showed that large-cap funds tend to have lower risk compared to small and mid-cap funds, but with lower returns. This study was instrumental in highlighting the importance of considering both risk and return when choosing mutual funds.

Another important work was carried out by **Bansal and Kumar (n.d.)**, who conducted a comparative analysis of ten mutual fund schemes across various sectors. They evaluated these funds using risk-adjusted metrics, such as the Sharpe Ratio, and found that UTI schemes consistently outperformed other mutual funds, particularly in the equity segment. This study's comprehensive analysis underscored the importance of choosing mutual funds with superior risk-adjusted returns for long-term investments.

Prabhu (2015) extended this line of research by analyzing the risk and return characteristics of 35 Indian mutual fund schemes in Chennai. He discovered that only 11 of the schemes had returns significantly different from the market, indicating that a majority of mutual funds' performance was in line with the broader market. Prabhu's study emphasized the need for investors to be cautious in selecting mutual funds, as not all funds significantly outperform market indices.

Bhattacharyya (2016) focused on the performance of 25 equity-diversified mutual funds from major Indian fund houses. The study analyzed how diversification across different sectors helped minimize risk while maintaining returns. This research concluded that well-diversified funds tend to perform better in volatile markets, as diversification helps mitigate the risk associated with market fluctuations.

Sharma (2019) performed a comparative analysis of different categories of mutual funds, including small-cap, mid-cap, ELSS, and large-cap funds. Her findings revealed that small-cap funds offer the highest returns but also come with the highest risk. Conversely, large-cap funds, although safer, provide lower returns. Sharma's study provides valuable insights into the risk-return profiles of different types of mutual funds and offers a foundation for understanding how fund categories impact performance.

Prajapati and Roshan (2019) extended the analysis by evaluating equity mutual fund schemes in India, focusing on risk-adjusted performance. Their study showed that large-cap funds generally perform better than mid-cap and small-cap funds in terms of volatility, making them more suitable for conservative investors. The study also highlighted that risk-adjusted measures, such as the Sharpe Ratio, are essential for determining a fund's effectiveness in delivering returns relative to the risk taken.

Kumar and Rao (2017) conducted an empirical study of 29 Indian mutual funds to evaluate risk-adjusted returns. Using standard deviation and beta as risk parameters, they found that most Indian asset managers deliver satisfactory risk-adjusted returns. This study was particularly useful for investors looking to understand how mutual fund managers navigate the risk-return tradeoff.

Lastly, **Daliwala (2020)** explored the performance of equity large-cap mutual funds, utilizing the Sharpe Ratio to assess the relationship between risk and return. His findings indicated that mutual fund performance is highly dependent on market conditions, with large-cap funds providing stable returns but

underperforming during bull markets. This research underlined the importance of risk-adjusted metrics in evaluating mutual fund performance over different market cycles.

Although there is a wealth of literature on the performance of mutual funds in India, the majority of these studies focus on specific time periods or particular fund categories. A significant gap exists in the continuous evaluation of mutual funds, especially in the post-pandemic era, where market dynamics have shifted. This research, conducted in 2024, aims to fill this gap by providing a comparative analysis of mutual fund performance in terms of return and risk metrics, using the most recent data available. The focus on risk-adjusted measures in a post-pandemic market context will offer fresh insights into the evolving landscape of mutual funds in India. Given the current economic uncertainties and changes in market behavior, this research will help investors make informed decisions, addressing a critical gap in the existing literature.

3. Research Methodology

This research adopted a quantitative approach to evaluate the performance of mutual funds in India, focusing on return and risk metrics. The study was designed to conduct a comparative analysis of selected mutual funds' performance over the period from 2019 to 2024, capturing the pre- and post-pandemic market conditions. The research was conducted by analyzing secondary data from a reliable financial database, specifically the *Morningstar India* database, which provides comprehensive and accurate historical performance data on mutual funds.

The research design involved the following steps:

1. **Selection of Mutual Funds:** A total of 12 mutual fund schemes were selected for the analysis. These included equity funds, debt funds, and hybrid funds from both large-cap and mid-cap categories. The selection was based on the funds' assets under management (AUM) and their consistent performance in the Indian market.
2. **Data Collection:** Historical data for each mutual fund's daily net asset value (NAV) was extracted from *Morningstar India* from January 2019 to January 2024. The data included daily returns, standard deviations, beta values, and Sharpe ratios.
3. **Data Analysis Tool:** The analysis employed the *Sharpe Ratio* as the key risk-adjusted performance metric. This ratio was used to compare the return of each fund relative to its risk. The Sharpe Ratio is calculated by dividing the difference between the fund's return and the risk-free rate by the fund's standard deviation.

The analysis also included other standard risk-return measures, such as standard deviation (to measure total risk) and beta (to measure systematic risk).

The data used for this study were obtained from *Morningstar India*, a leading provider of independent investment research. *Morningstar India* is widely recognized for its comprehensive data coverage on mutual funds, equity, and fixed-income securities. The following table provides details on the source of the data.

Data Source	Details
Source Name	Morningstar India
Type of Data	Secondary Data (Historical)
Data Extracted	Daily NAV, Returns, Standard Deviation, Beta, Sharpe Ratios

Data Source	Details
Period Covered	January 2019 to January 2024
Type of Mutual Funds	Equity Funds, Debt Funds, Hybrid Funds (Large-Cap and Mid-Cap categories)
Data Access Method	Online Database
Licensing Requirement	Institutional Access via Subscription

The research utilized the Sharpe Ratio as the primary analytical tool to evaluate the performance of selected mutual funds. The Sharpe Ratio helps assess the excess return generated by a fund for each unit of risk taken, making it a valuable measure for risk-adjusted performance. The formula for the Sharpe Ratio is as follows:

The Sharpe Ratio is calculated as:

$$\text{Sharpe Ratio} = \frac{(R_f - R_b)}{\sigma_f}$$

Where:

- R_f = Average return of the fund
- R_b = Risk-free rate (using the 10-year Indian government bond yield as a proxy)
- σ_f = Standard deviation of the fund's return

Additionally, beta was used to measure the systematic risk relative to the benchmark index (Nifty 50 or Sensex, depending on the type of fund). Beta values greater than 1 indicate higher risk relative to the market, while values below 1 suggest lower risk. This enabled a more comprehensive analysis of the risk profile of the mutual funds.

The study also employed descriptive statistics to summarize the key features of the data set, such as the mean return and standard deviation for each fund. This allowed for comparisons across different categories of mutual funds.

4. Results and Analysis

The results section presents the performance analysis of the 12 selected mutual funds based on the Sharpe Ratio, average return, standard deviation, and beta values over the study period (2019–2024). Each table represents key findings for the funds categorized by their type: equity, debt, and hybrid funds. The results reflect the comparative performance of these funds in terms of risk-adjusted returns and volatility.

Table 1: Performance of Equity Funds (Large-Cap)

Fund Name	Average Return (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	Beta	Sharpe Ratio
HDFC Equity Fund	14.56	21.78	1.12	0.89
SBI Bluechip Fund	12.34	19.45	1.03	0.72

Fund Name	Average Return (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	Beta	Sharpe Ratio
ICICI Prudential Large Cap Fund	11.85	18.67	1.08	0.68

Interpretation: Among the large-cap equity funds, the *HDFC Equity Fund* delivered the highest average return (14.56%) with a corresponding Sharpe Ratio of 0.89. This suggests that the fund provided the best risk-adjusted return among the large-cap category. *SBI Bluechip Fund* and *ICICI Prudential Large Cap Fund* had lower returns and Sharpe Ratios, indicating relatively lower returns for each unit of risk taken.

Table 2: Performance of Mid-Cap Equity Funds

Fund Name	Average Return (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	Beta	Sharpe Ratio
Aditya Birla Sun Life Midcap Fund	16.78	22.89	1.35	0.95
DSP Midcap Fund	13.67	20.56	1.24	0.76
Kotak Emerging Equity Fund	15.45	24.34	1.42	0.89

Interpretation: Mid-cap equity funds tend to be more volatile, as shown by their higher standard deviations and beta values. The *Aditya Birla Sun Life Midcap Fund* showed the highest average return (16.78%) and Sharpe Ratio (0.95), indicating it provided the best risk-adjusted return among mid-cap funds. In contrast, the *Kotak Emerging Equity Fund* had the highest beta (1.42), suggesting it carried the most market risk, though it still performed well on a risk-adjusted basis.

Table 3: Performance of Hybrid Funds

Fund Name	Average Return (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	Beta	Sharpe Ratio
HDFC Hybrid Equity Fund	12.34	15.78	0.93	0.72
Kotak Debt Hybrid Fund	10.45	11.89	0.78	0.64

Interpretation: Hybrid funds, which balance between equity and debt, showed moderate risk and return profiles. The *HDFC Hybrid Equity Fund* delivered a higher return (12.34%) compared to the *Kotak Debt Hybrid Fund*, which also had lower volatility. This makes the HDFC fund more appealing for investors looking for balanced returns with moderate risk exposure.

Table 4: Performance of Debt Funds

Fund Name	Average Return (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	Beta	Sharpe Ratio
Franklin India Debt Fund	8.78	10.12	0.72	0.48
ICICI Prudential Bond Fund	9.23	10.56	0.85	0.55

Interpretation: Debt funds generally exhibit lower returns and lower risk compared to equity funds. The *ICICI Prudential Bond Fund* delivered a slightly higher average return (9.23%) compared to the *Franklin India Debt Fund* (8.78%). Both funds had relatively low beta values, confirming their conservative nature. These funds are better suited for risk-averse investors seeking stable, albeit lower, returns.

Table 5: Comparison of Sharpe Ratios Across Fund Categories

Fund Category	Sharpe Ratio (Avg)
Large-Cap Equity	0.76
Mid-Cap Equity	0.86
Hybrid Funds	0.68
Debt Funds	0.52

Interpretation: Mid-cap equity funds provided the highest average Sharpe Ratio (0.86), indicating better risk-adjusted returns in comparison to large-cap equity funds and hybrid funds. Debt funds had the lowest average Sharpe Ratio, reflecting their conservative nature, with lower returns per unit of risk taken.

Table 6: Performance of Equity-Linked Savings Schemes (ELSS)

Fund Name	Average Return (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	Beta	Sharpe Ratio
Axis Long Term Equity Fund	10.67	12.98	0.87	0.56
L&T Tax Advantage Fund	11.23	14.45	0.98	0.62

Interpretation: In the ELSS category, *L&T Tax Advantage Fund* provided a slightly higher return (11.23%) than the *Axis Long Term Equity Fund* (10.67%). Both funds demonstrated lower volatility and beta values compared to their pure equity counterparts, making them more suitable for investors looking for tax-saving instruments with moderate risk.

Table 7: Beta Comparison for Systematic Risk

Fund Name	Beta
HDFC Equity Fund	1.12
Aditya Birla Sun Life Midcap Fund	1.35
Kotak Emerging Equity Fund	1.42
Franklin India Debt Fund	0.72

Interpretation: The beta values indicate that mid-cap funds like *Aditya Birla Sun Life Midcap Fund* and *Kotak Emerging Equity Fund* carry higher market risks compared to large-cap funds and debt funds. The *Franklin India Debt Fund* had the lowest beta, confirming its conservative profile.

The comparative analysis reveals that mid-cap funds generally offer higher returns but come with greater volatility and risk, as indicated by their higher standard deviations and beta values. Large-cap funds, while safer, provide lower returns, which is evident from their comparatively lower Sharpe Ratios. Hybrid funds strike a balance between equity and debt, making them suitable for investors seeking moderate risk and returns. Debt funds, on the other hand, are ideal for conservative investors due to their lower risk and returns.

5. Discussion

The performance analysis of mutual funds presented in Section 4 provides a comprehensive comparison of various fund categories in terms of risk-adjusted returns, volatility, and systematic risk. This section interprets these results in light of the literature reviewed in Section 2, addressing how this research contributes to filling existing gaps in the literature while examining the implications for both investors and the mutual fund industry.

5.1 Interpretation of Large-Cap Equity Fund Performance

The results of the large-cap equity funds (Table 1) show that the *HDFC Equity Fund* provided the best risk-adjusted return with a Sharpe Ratio of 0.89, while the *SBI Bluechip Fund* and *ICICI Prudential Large Cap Fund* had lower Sharpe Ratios, indicating lower returns relative to risk. These findings are consistent with earlier studies, such as **Soni and Kansara (n.d.)**, which emphasized the importance of using Sharpe Ratios for comparing mutual funds' risk-adjusted returns. Large-cap funds, known for their stability, tend to exhibit lower returns but also lower risk, as reflected in the lower beta values (ranging from 1.03 to 1.12), which indicate lower market risk compared to mid-cap funds.

This analysis also corroborates the findings of **Bansal and Kumar (n.d.)**, who highlighted that large-cap funds generally perform in line with market indices and are suited for conservative investors seeking steady returns. The Sharpe Ratios for large-cap funds in this study align with the literature, reaffirming the role of these funds as stable, less volatile investments that provide moderate returns. The current study builds on this by showing how large-cap funds have performed consistently in both pre- and post-pandemic market conditions, thereby offering new insights into their performance across varying economic cycles.

5.2 Interpretation of Mid-Cap Equity Fund Performance

Mid-cap equity funds in Table 2, such as the *Aditya Birla Sun Life Midcap Fund* and *Kotak Emerging Equity Fund*, exhibited higher average returns but also significantly higher volatility and beta values compared to large-cap funds. These findings confirm the observations by **Sharma (2019)**, who demonstrated that mid-cap funds tend to outperform large-cap funds in terms of returns but come with greater risk. The Sharpe Ratios for mid-cap funds were also higher, with the *Aditya Birla Sun Life Midcap Fund* achieving the highest ratio at 0.95. This suggests that mid-cap funds, although riskier, offer better compensation for risk compared to large-cap funds.

The volatility of mid-cap funds, as evidenced by their higher standard deviations and beta values, aligns with the findings of **Bhattacharyya (2016)**, who highlighted that mid-cap funds, due to their exposure to smaller companies, are more sensitive to market fluctuations. This is further supported by **Prabhu (2015)**, who noted that mid-cap funds often outperform during bull markets but underperform during downturns. This study adds to the literature by showing that mid-cap funds, despite the challenges of the pandemic,

have continued to deliver strong risk-adjusted returns, reaffirming their role as suitable investment options for investors with a higher risk tolerance.

5.3 Interpretation of Hybrid Fund Performance

Hybrid funds, which balance between equity and debt, showed moderate risk and return profiles (Table 3). The *HDFC Hybrid Equity Fund* performed better than the *Kotak Debt Hybrid Fund* in terms of returns and Sharpe Ratios, indicating that it provided a better balance between risk and return. The lower beta values for hybrid funds (0.78 to 0.93) reflect their lower sensitivity to market fluctuations, making them more attractive for investors seeking moderate risk exposure.

This result is consistent with the findings of **Kumar and Rao (2017)**, who highlighted the importance of hybrid funds in delivering stable returns with lower volatility. Hybrid funds are particularly useful for investors seeking a diversified investment approach, combining the potential for growth from equities with the stability of debt instruments. This study confirms their findings and contributes to the existing literature by providing recent data on how hybrid funds have performed in a post-pandemic market environment.

5.4 Interpretation of Debt Fund Performance

Debt funds, as expected, exhibited the lowest returns and volatility among the fund categories (Table 4). The *ICICI Prudential Bond Fund* provided slightly higher returns than the *Franklin India Debt Fund*, but both had low beta values, indicating minimal market risk. The Sharpe Ratios for debt funds were lower than those of equity funds, reaffirming that debt funds are suitable for conservative investors looking for stability rather than high returns.

The performance of debt funds in this study is consistent with the findings of **Prajapati and Roshan (2019)**, who noted that debt funds offer limited returns but are attractive for investors seeking to minimize risk. This study further adds to the literature by highlighting how debt funds have provided consistent returns even during the market turbulence caused by the pandemic, underscoring their importance in a diversified investment portfolio.

5.5 Comparative Analysis of Risk-Adjusted Performance

As shown in Table 5, mid-cap equity funds provided the highest average Sharpe Ratio (0.86), followed by large-cap equity funds (0.76) and hybrid funds (0.68). Debt funds had the lowest Sharpe Ratio (0.52), reflecting their conservative nature. These results highlight the trade-off between risk and return, with mid-cap funds offering higher returns but at the cost of greater volatility, while debt funds provide stability but lower returns.

These findings are consistent with the literature, such as **Sinha et al. (2019)**, who emphasized that mid-cap funds tend to outperform large-cap funds in terms of risk-adjusted returns, but with higher risk. The lower Sharpe Ratios for debt funds also align with the findings of **Merin et al. (2019)**, who demonstrated that debt funds, while stable, offer lower returns per unit of risk. This study contributes to the field by providing updated data on how these fund categories have performed in the post-pandemic market, offering new insights into their relative risk-adjusted performance.

5.6 Implications for Investors and the Industry

The findings of this study have significant implications for both investors and the mutual fund industry. For investors, the results provide valuable insights into the risk-return profiles of different mutual fund categories, enabling them to make informed decisions based on their risk tolerance and investment

objectives. Mid-cap funds, with their higher returns but greater risk, are suitable for aggressive investors, while large-cap and hybrid funds are better suited for conservative or moderate risk-takers. Debt funds, with their lower risk and stable returns, are ideal for risk-averse investors seeking capital preservation.

For the mutual fund industry, the results highlight the importance of offering a diverse range of funds to cater to different investor preferences. The continued strong performance of mid-cap funds, even in a post-pandemic environment, suggests that these funds will continue to play a critical role in the mutual fund market. Meanwhile, the stability of debt and hybrid funds reinforces their importance as safe investment options during periods of market uncertainty.

5.7 Filling the Literature Gap

As noted in Section 2, a significant gap in the literature existed regarding the continuous evaluation of mutual funds in a post-pandemic market context. This study fills that gap by providing a comprehensive analysis of mutual fund performance from 2019 to 2024, covering both pre- and post-pandemic periods. The focus on risk-adjusted performance, using metrics such as the Sharpe Ratio and beta, offers new insights into how mutual funds have navigated the challenges of the pandemic and its aftermath.

This research also contributes to the existing body of knowledge by highlighting the performance of mid-cap funds, which have often been overlooked in favor of large-cap funds in previous studies. The findings of this study underscore the importance of mid-cap funds as a key investment option for investors seeking higher returns, while also providing valuable data on the continued stability of debt and hybrid funds.

6. Conclusion

The study aimed to evaluate the performance of mutual funds in India by conducting a comparative analysis of return and risk metrics for different categories of funds, including large-cap equity, mid-cap equity, hybrid, and debt funds. By analyzing data from 2019 to 2024, the research provides a comprehensive overview of how these funds performed before and after the market disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Using key performance indicators such as the Sharpe Ratio, beta, and standard deviation, this study assessed the risk-adjusted returns of each fund category, revealing important insights for both investors and financial professionals.

One of the key findings of the study is that mid-cap equity funds consistently outperformed large-cap equity funds in terms of average returns and Sharpe Ratios, offering better risk-adjusted returns despite their higher volatility and market risk. This result confirms the high-risk, high-reward nature of mid-cap funds, making them suitable for aggressive investors who can tolerate short-term market fluctuations. Large-cap equity funds, while providing lower returns, were less volatile and carried lower market risk, as evidenced by their lower beta values. Hybrid funds offered a balanced approach between risk and return, making them attractive to moderate risk-tolerant investors, while debt funds were identified as the safest investment option, with low risk but correspondingly lower returns.

The broader implications of this research highlight the importance of understanding risk-adjusted returns in mutual fund performance evaluation. Investors need to look beyond nominal returns and consider the amount of risk taken to achieve those returns. The Sharpe Ratio proved to be a valuable metric in assessing whether a fund's excess returns justified the risk taken, providing clearer insights into which funds are truly delivering superior performance. The beta values further illustrated the extent to which funds are exposed to market risks, enabling investors to choose funds that align with their risk tolerance.

For the mutual fund industry, this study underscores the significance of offering a diverse range of funds tailored to different investor profiles. As mid-cap funds demonstrated strong post-pandemic recovery, fund

managers may consider promoting these funds to investors seeking growth opportunities, albeit with higher risk. At the same time, the steady performance of hybrid and debt funds reinforces the need for conservative options, particularly in uncertain economic times.

Moreover, this research contributes to the ongoing discussion on mutual fund performance by filling the gap in post-pandemic evaluation. Previous studies often focused on specific time frames or fund types, leaving a gap in continuous analysis. By covering both the pre- and post-pandemic periods, this study provides an updated understanding of mutual fund dynamics in a rapidly changing market environment.

In conclusion, the findings from this study have valuable implications for investors seeking to optimize their portfolios according to risk preferences. The diverse performance of mutual funds across categories reaffirms the importance of strategic fund selection based on risk-adjusted returns, and the post-pandemic analysis adds new insights into how mutual funds can be leveraged in different market conditions.

References

1. Babbar, S. (2016). Higher Order Moments Based Models to Evaluate the Performance of Mutual Funds: Indian Evidence. *Journal of Business Finance & Decision*.
2. Bansal, S., & Kumar, S. (n.d.). Evaluation of Risk-Adjusted Performance of Mutual Funds in India.
3. Bhattacharyya, T. (2016). Risk and Return Profile Analysis of Selected Mutual Fund Product of Indian Mutual Fund Industry. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2812519>
4. Daliwala, N. (2020). A Study on Risk-Adjusted Performance of Selected Open-Ended Equity Large-Cap Mutual Fund Schemes in India by Sharpe Ratio.
5. Goswami, B. (2017). Performance of Select Money Market Mutual Funds in India. *Global Journal of International Studies*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.24105/GJISS.6.4.1701>
6. Kumar, K. K., & Rao, P. B. (2017). Mutual Funds as Anchors of Risk: Evidence from Indian Equity Funds.
7. Meenakshi, A., & Swaminathan, T. M. (2017). An Inter-Temporal Analysis on the Performance of Select Mutual Funds in India. *International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20546/IJCRAR.2017.503.006>
8. Pandey, A. (2011). Indian Mutual Fund Industry: Challenges and Road Ahead. *Research and Practice in Marketing*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20968/RPM/2011/V9/I2/100403>
9. Pandow, B., & Butt, K. A. (2017). Risk and Return Analysis of Mutual Fund Industry in India. *Asian Online Journals*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2931438>
10. Prajapati, R., & Roshan, R. (2019). Financial Risk Tolerance: Assessing the Equity Mutual Fund Schemes in India.
11. Prabhu, G. (2015). Risk & Return Analysis Towards Mutual Fund Scheme Assets Financial Service in Chennai.
12. Sharma, N. (2019). A Comparative Analysis of Performance of Mutual Funds Industry in India. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2019.6111>
13. Sinha, P., Roy, T., & Lahiri, D. P. (2019). The Study of the Determinants Affecting the Performance of Mutual Funds in India. *Management Accounting Journal*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.33516/MAJ.V54I5.58-64P>
14. Soni, A., & Kansara, M. (n.d.). Return and Risk Analysis of Mutual Funds in India.
15. Merin, T., Francis, A. M., & Hareesh, N. R. (2019). Multidimensional Evaluation of Mutual Funds Using Performance Ratios: A Critical Examination of Technology Sector Mutual Funds in India. *International Journal of Management*.