

The Philosophy of Presidential Exhibitions at the National Museum of Tanzania: Representation, Memory and the Construction of Political Meaning

Valerian Margwe Juwal

Curator and Researcher
National Museum of Tanzania; Tanzania
valerianjuwal@gmail.com

Abstract:

Presidential exhibitions occupy a distinctive place within museum practice because they bring together history, memory, politics and public culture. This paper examines the philosophy of presidential exhibitions at the National Museum of Tanzania and explores how exhibitions function as systems of meaning rather than simple displays of historical objects. Drawing upon museum theory, semiotics, discourse theory, and collective memory studies, the paper argues that presidential exhibitions are philosophical spaces in which political authority, national identity, and public memory are constructed and communicated. Through the exhibition of presidential artefacts, museums transform material objects into symbolic representations of leadership, statehood, and national continuity. The study demonstrates that exhibition design, curatorial narratives, object arrangement, and visitor experience collectively shape public understandings of political history. The paper concludes that presidential exhibitions at the National Museum of Tanzania operate as material philosophies of the state, organizing knowledge about leadership and governance through visual, spatial and symbolic practices.

Keywords: Philosophy of Exhibition; Presidential Exhibitions; Political Symbolism; Collective Memory; Museology; National Identity; Tanzania.

1. Introduction

Museums are increasingly understood not merely as institutions for collecting and preserving objects but as cultural systems that actively produce knowledge and shape public understanding. Contemporary museum scholarship has shifted from viewing museums as neutral repositories of heritage to understanding them as interpretive institutions that construct meanings through exhibition practices. According to Bennett (1995), museums function as technologies of culture that organize public knowledge through systems of display, classification, and representation. Exhibitions therefore operate as powerful mechanisms through which societies understand themselves, their histories and their political institutions.

Within this broader context, presidential exhibitions occupy a unique position because they connect historical memory with political authority. Unlike conventional historical exhibitions, presidential exhibitions present objects associated with national leadership and state power. These exhibitions are not merely collections of personal belongings or political memorabilia; they are carefully curated narratives that communicate particular understandings of leadership, governance, and nationhood. As Wernecke (2021) argues in his study of presidential museums, these institutions combine material, textual, and visual rhetoric to shape collective

memory and influence public perceptions of political leaders. Presidential exhibitions thus become sites where memory and power intersect in visible and material forms.

The National Museum of Tanzania provides a particularly important context for examining these issues. As a national institution entrusted with preserving and presenting Tanzania's historical heritage, the museum plays a significant role in shaping public understandings of political history. Presidential exhibitions within the museum contribute to the construction of national narratives by presenting artefacts associated with former heads of state and situating them within broader stories of independence, development, unity and nation-building. Through these exhibitions, visitors encounter representations of political leadership that extend beyond individual biographies and become part of a collective national memory.

The philosophy of exhibition seeks to understand how exhibitions create meaning and influence perception. Rather than asking what objects are displayed, philosophical inquiry asks how objects become meaningful within exhibition contexts. It examines the relationships among objects, space, narrative, knowledge and power. From this perspective, presidential exhibitions can be understood as epistemological and political systems that shape how citizens perceive leadership and authority. The exhibition becomes not merely a place of display but a medium through which ideas about the state are communicated and reproduced.

This paper argues that presidential exhibitions at the National Museum of Tanzania function as philosophical infrastructures of public knowledge. Through curatorial decisions, exhibition design, interpretive narratives, and symbolic representations, they construct particular understandings of political authority, national identity, and collective memory. The paper therefore explores the exhibition not simply as a museum practice but as a philosophical system through which political meaning is produced and communicated.

2. The Philosophy of Exhibition

The philosophy of exhibition begins with the recognition that exhibitions are not neutral arrangements of objects. Every exhibition involves decisions concerning selection, classification, interpretation, and presentation. These decisions determine what becomes visible, what remains invisible, and how visitors are encouraged to understand displayed materials. Consequently, exhibitions operate as epistemological systems that organize knowledge and shape perception.

Hooper-Greenhill (1992) argues that museums participate actively in the shaping of knowledge rather than merely transmitting objective information. Museum collections acquire meaning through institutional practices that classify, interpret, and contextualize objects. Knowledge within museums is therefore produced rather than simply discovered. Exhibitions become frameworks through which visitors learn not only about objects but also about the social and political values attached to those objects.

This philosophical perspective is particularly significant for presidential exhibitions because political artefacts do not possess fixed meanings. A presidential gift, official document, vehicle, photograph, or ceremonial object becomes meaningful only when placed within a broader narrative of leadership and governance. The exhibition transforms these objects from material possessions into symbolic representations of political authority. Meaning emerges not from the object itself but from its relationship to other objects, exhibition texts, spatial arrangements and visitor interpretations.

Consequently, presidential exhibitions should be understood as systems of representation rather than collections of historical evidence. Their primary function is not simply to preserve artefacts but to construct narratives that explain the significance of those artefacts within national history. The exhibition therefore becomes a philosophical space in which political reality is interpreted and connected.

3. Power, Knowledge, and the Exhibitionary Complex

The relationship between exhibitions and power has been explored extensively within museum theory. Drawing on the work of Michel Foucault, Bennett (1995) introduced the concept of the exhibitionary complex

to explain how museums function as institutions that regulate public knowledge and behaviour. Museums do not simply display information; they organize visibility and shape public perception through systems of representation. Exhibition practices therefore participate in broader structures of governance and social regulation.

Bennett later expanded this perspective by arguing that museums can be understood as governmental assemblages that bring together objects, knowledge, institutions, and visitors within systems of cultural administration (Bennett, 2015). Museums become sites where citizens learn how to understand themselves in relation to society, history, and the state. The exhibition consequently operates as a subtle mechanism through which political and cultural values are communicated and normalized.

Within presidential exhibitions, these dynamics become particularly visible. The selection of presidential artefacts, the organization of exhibition narratives, and the presentation of leadership histories all contribute to constructing specific understandings of political authority. Visitors are invited to view leadership through carefully curated narratives that emphasize continuity, service, development, and national unity. Through these processes, exhibitions participate in the production of political knowledge.

The significance of presidential exhibitions therefore extends beyond heritage preservation. They function as cultural technologies that organize public understandings of governance and statehood. In doing so, they reveal the profound relationship between exhibition practices and political power.

4. Presidential Artefacts as Political Symbols

Semiotic theory provides an important framework for understanding how presidential artefacts acquire symbolic meaning. According to Barthes (1972), cultural objects become myths when their social meanings are naturalized and presented as self-evident realities. Myth does not distort reality; rather, it transforms historical and political constructions into apparently natural truths.

Presidential artefacts operate in precisely this manner. Within museum exhibitions, objects associated with political leaders are transformed into symbols of national service, leadership, sacrifice, and development. Their meanings extend beyond their physical characteristics and become connected to broader narratives of statehood and national identity.

The exhibition process itself contributes to this transformation. Through display techniques, interpretive texts, and spatial arrangements, artefacts are repositioned within systems of symbolic meaning. Visitors are encouraged to perceive these objects not merely as historical materials but as representations of political values and national achievements. In this way, presidential exhibitions participate in the symbolic construction of leadership and authority.

The philosophical significance of this process lies in the fact that meaning is produced rather than inherited. Political symbolism emerges through exhibition practices that connect objects to narratives, memories, and ideologies. Presidential exhibitions therefore function as sites where political myths are materialized and communicated to the public.

5. Collective Memory and the Construction of National Identity

Presidential exhibitions play a significant role in the production and maintenance of collective memory. Memory, as Halbwachs (1992) argues, is not an individual phenomenon but a social construction shaped by institutions, cultural practices, and shared frameworks of interpretation. Museums are among the most influential institutions involved in this process because they preserve selected aspects of the past and present them as collectively significant historical narratives. Through exhibitions, museums transform memory into public knowledge and provide material forms through which societies remember their histories.

Within presidential exhibitions, collective memory is organized around narratives of leadership, nation-building, and political continuity. The display of presidential artefacts allows visitors to encounter the history

of the nation through the biographies and material traces of its leaders. Objects associated with presidents become memory anchors that connect individual experiences with broader national histories. In this way, exhibitions transform personal artefacts into public symbols that contribute to the construction of collective identity.

Schwartz (1997) demonstrates that historical figures often become symbolic representations of broader social values through collective memory processes. Such figures cease to exist merely as historical individuals and instead become cultural symbols through which societies understand themselves. Presidential exhibitions operate according to similar principles. The exhibition does not simply represent former leaders as individuals; rather, it presents them as embodiments of national aspirations, achievements, and historical transformations. Through this process, political leadership becomes integrated into collective memory and national consciousness.

At the National Museum of Tanzania, presidential exhibitions contribute to narratives of independence, unity, peace, and development. These themes occupy a central position within Tanzanian national identity and are frequently associated with presidential leadership across different historical periods. By presenting artefacts within these thematic frameworks, exhibitions establish continuity between political leadership and national progress. Visitors are therefore encouraged to interpret presidential history as an integral component of the broader national story.

However, collective memory is always selective. As Fried (2006) notes, museum exhibitions inevitably privilege certain narratives while marginalizing others. The process of remembering is simultaneously a process of forgetting. Presidential exhibitions cannot represent every aspect of political history; instead, they make choices about which events, individuals, and achievements should be highlighted. These choices reveal the philosophical and political dimensions of memory construction within museum spaces.

Consequently, presidential exhibitions should not be understood as neutral representations of the past. They are active interventions in the production of public memory. Through selection, interpretation, and display, museums shape how future generations understand leadership, governance, and national history.

6. The Phenomenology of Presidential Exhibitions

A philosophical understanding of exhibitions also considers the visitor's lived experience. Phenomenology, particularly as developed by Merleau-Ponty (1962), emphasizes that human understanding emerges through embodied interaction with the world. Knowledge is not acquired solely through abstract reasoning but through sensory experience, perception, and movement. Museums provide a particularly rich context for exploring these ideas because exhibitions are experienced physically as well as intellectually.

Visitors encounter presidential exhibitions through movement, observation, and spatial engagement. They walk through exhibition galleries, pause before objects, read interpretive texts, and construct personal meanings from their encounters with displayed materials. The exhibition therefore becomes a lived experience in which meaning emerges through interaction between visitor, object, and environment.

The arrangement of presidential artefacts contributes significantly to this phenomenological experience. Objects placed at eye level invite close examination, while elevated displays may communicate authority and importance. Lighting, colour, sound, and spatial organization all influence visitor perception. These design elements are not merely aesthetic considerations; they are mechanisms through which meaning is communicated and experienced.

Presidential exhibitions often create a sense of proximity between visitors and political leaders. Personal belongings, photographs, handwritten documents, gifts, and ceremonial objects provide tangible connections to individuals who may otherwise seem distant or abstract. Through these encounters, visitors experience leadership not only as a political institution but also as a human reality. The exhibition thus bridges the gap between public history and personal experience.

Phenomenologically, this process is significant because it transforms historical knowledge into embodied understanding. Visitors do not merely learn about presidents; they experience representations of leadership through material engagement with objects and spaces. The exhibition becomes a medium through which political history is felt as well as understood.

From this perspective, presidential exhibitions can be viewed as experiential philosophies. They communicate ideas about leadership, authority, and citizenship not through theoretical argument but through lived encounters with material culture.

7. Museological Design and the Production of Meaning

Museological design is one of the most important yet often overlooked dimensions of exhibition philosophy. The physical organization of exhibitions influences how visitors interpret displayed materials and construct meaning from their experiences. Exhibition design therefore functions as a form of visual rhetoric that shapes understanding through spatial and aesthetic strategies.

Bennett (1995) argues that museum spaces are carefully structured environments that guide visitor perception and behaviour. Through architectural arrangements, display techniques, and narrative sequencing, exhibitions establish particular ways of seeing and understanding the world. Meaning is produced not only through objects but also through the relationships among objects, texts, spaces, and visitors.

In presidential exhibitions, design decisions contribute significantly to the construction of political narratives. Chronological arrangements often emphasize continuity and progress, presenting political history as a coherent sequence of national development. Thematic arrangements may highlight specific values such as unity, diplomacy, development, or public service. In both cases, exhibition design shapes how visitors interpret presidential history.

Lighting plays a particularly important role in this process. Illuminated artefacts attract attention and acquire symbolic prominence within exhibition spaces. Similarly, spatial positioning can create hierarchies of significance by emphasizing certain objects over others. Through these techniques, exhibitions communicate values and priorities without explicitly stating them.

The relationship between design and meaning illustrates the philosophical significance of museological practice. Exhibitions do not merely contain narratives; they embody narratives through spatial organization and visual presentation. The visitor encounters meaning through movement and perception rather than through textual information alone.

At the National Museum of Tanzania, presidential exhibitions demonstrate how design functions as an interpretive medium. Objects associated with national leaders are presented within carefully organized environments that communicate ideas about leadership, governance and national identity. Design therefore becomes an essential component of philosophical meaning-making within the museum.

8. Presidential Exhibitions as Material Philosophies of the State

The central argument of this paper is that presidential exhibitions operate as material philosophies of the state. Philosophy is often associated with abstract concepts and theoretical arguments, yet museums demonstrate that philosophical ideas can also be communicated through material and visual forms. Presidential exhibitions make ideas about authority, citizenship, governance, and national identity visible through objects and exhibition practices.

Foucault (1977) argues that power operates not only through laws and institutions but also through systems of knowledge and representation. Museums participate in these systems by organizing public understandings of history and identity. Presidential exhibitions contribute to this process by presenting leadership as a meaningful and enduring component of national life.

The displayed artefacts function as material expressions of political ideas. A presidential desk may symbolize governance; a diplomatic gift may represent international relations; an official document may signify state authority. Through exhibition practices, these objects become vehicles for communicating broader philosophical concepts.

Furthermore, presidential exhibitions contribute to what Chan et al. (2018) describe as the politics of memory and identity construction. Museums do not merely preserve national identity; they actively participate in its creation. Through narratives of leadership and nation-building, presidential exhibitions help define what it means to belong to the nation and how citizens should understand their relationship to the state.

The philosophical significance of these exhibitions therefore extends beyond heritage preservation. They function as public spaces where political values are materialized, interpreted, and transmitted across generations. In this sense, the museum becomes an arena in which abstract ideas about governance and citizenship acquire tangible form.

Presidential exhibitions at the National Museum of Tanzania thus operate as cultural infrastructures of political meaning. They translate historical experiences into visual narratives that shape public understanding of leadership and national identity.

9. Conclusion

This paper has examined the philosophy of presidential exhibitions at the National Museum of Tanzania and demonstrated that exhibitions function as systems of knowledge, memory, and representation rather than simple displays of historical artefacts. Through theoretical perspectives drawn from museum studies, semiotics, discourse theory, collective memory studies, and phenomenology, the study has shown that presidential exhibitions are complex philosophical spaces in which political meaning is produced and communicated.

The analysis reveals that presidential artefacts acquire significance through exhibition practices that transform material objects into symbolic representations of leadership and statehood. Through curatorial interpretation, spatial organization, and visual design, museums construct narratives that connect political leadership to broader themes of national identity, unity, and development.

The study further demonstrates that collective memory is actively produced within exhibition spaces. Presidential exhibitions contribute to the formation of public memory by selecting particular historical narratives for preservation and display. Through this process, museums participate in shaping how citizens understand political history and their relationship to the nation.

Finally, the paper argues that presidential exhibitions should be understood as material philosophies of the state. They communicate ideas about authority, citizenship, governance, and national identity through visual and spatial practices. The National Museum of Tanzania therefore serves not only as a repository of historical artefacts but also as an institution that constructs and disseminates philosophical understandings of political leadership and national belonging.

Future research may explore visitor interpretations of presidential exhibitions, comparative studies of presidential museums across Africa, and the role of digital technologies in transforming political memory within museum environments.

REFERENCES:

1. Barthes, R. (1972). *Mythologies*. Hill and Wang.
2. Bennett, T. (1995). *The birth of the museum: History, theory, politics*. Routledge.
3. Bennett, T. (2015). Thinking (with) museums: From exhibitionary complex to governmental assemblage. In A. Witcomb & K. Message (Eds.), *The International handbooks of museum studies*:

Museum theory (Vol. 1, pp. 3–20). Wiley-Blackwell.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118829059.wbihms101> (Wiley Online Library)

4. Chan, C.-S., Peters, M., & Marafa, L. M. (2018). Politics of memories: Identity construction in museums. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 73, 116–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2018.09.011>
5. Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison*. Vintage Books.
6. Fried, A. (2006). The personalization of collective memory: The Smithsonian's September 11 exhibit. *Political Communication*, 23(4), 387–405. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584600600976971>
7. Halbwachs, M. (1992). *On collective memory*. University of Chicago Press.
8. Hooper-Greenhill, E. (1992). *Museums and the shaping of knowledge*. Routledge. (Open Library)
9. Merleau-Ponty, M. (1962). *Phenomenology of perception* (C. Smith, Trans.). Routledge. (Original work published 1945)
10. Schwartz, B. (1997). Collective memory and history: How Abraham Lincoln became a symbol of racial equality. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 38(3), 469–496. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1533-8525.1997.tb00487.x>
11. Wernecke, C. J. (2021). Gazing into the past: Post-presidential collective memory and political scopophilia at the Jimmy Carter Presidential Museum. *Western Journal of Communication*, 85(4), 507–526. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10570314.2021.1898673> (Taylor & Francis Online)